

131268

NONDEVELOPMENT AND NONPAYMENT
OF RENTALS AFFIDAVIT

George R. Wagner, of lawful age, being first duly sworn, upon his oath deposes and says:

That affiant is Manager of Lease Records of SFP Minerals Corporation, an affiliate of Southern Pacific Company and Southern Pacific Land Company, and is personally acquainted with the history of Section 25, Township 36 North, Range 49 East, MDBM, Eureka County, Nevada.

That by Lease of Mining Rights No. SPL-357 dated December 1, 1975, a copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit A, Southern Pacific Land Company granted to Temple Mountain Industries, Inc., a lease covering the W1/2 of Section 25, Township 36 North, Range 49 East, MDBM for a term from December 1, 1975 to November 30, 1976, subject to annual renewal upon the lessee's written notice being received by the lessor not more than six months nor less than thirty days prior to the expiration of the term or annual period. That the lease also required advance payment of an annual minimum royalty. That neither the lease nor a memorandum of lease was placed of record, but that reference is made to the unrecorded lease in the following instruments appearing of record in Eureka County, Nevada:

BOOK 207 PAGE 404

<u>Instrument</u>	<u>Date</u>	<u>Recording Date</u>	<u>Book/ Page</u>
1. Agreement	01/09/76	03/31/81	93/500
2. Agreement	01/19/76	09/18/81	98/92
3. Agreement	01/09/76	10/23/81	99/84
4. Agreement	01/09/76	10/23/81	99/86
5. Agreement	01/09/76	10/23/81	99/88
6. Agreement	01/09/76	11/02/81	99/173
7. Agreement	03/26/76	02/11/82	101/193

That in his position as Manager of Lease Records, affiant is the recipient of all rental and royalty payments and that he has received no payments on the lease since 1980. That affiant has personally examined the corporate records, that notice by lessee to extend the term of the lease was never timely received, and that by letter dated December 15, 1980, written notice was given to the then lessee, Temple Mountain Industries, Inc., that due to the late payment of an advance minimum royalty, the lease dated December 1, 1975, was considered terminated.

That Temple Mountain Industries, Inc. commenced a lawsuit claiming that the lease dated December 1, 1975, continued in full force and effect, which lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the District of Utah, Civil Action No. C-81-0100J, but was transferred to the United States District Court for the District of Nevada, Civil Action No. CV-R-81-183-ECR.

That the United States District Court for the District of Nevada by order, dated November 12, 1982, granted a motion for summary judgment in favor of Defendant Southern Pacific Land Company and against Plaintiff Temple Mountain Industries, Inc. and as such terminated that certain Lease of Mining Rights, SPL-357, dated December 1, 1975. That supporting documentation is attached hereto as Exhibit B.

To the best of affiant's knowledge no oil, gas or other minerals have been produced from any part of the W1/2 Section 25, Township 36 North, Range 49 East, M.D.B.M., for more than ten years.

Further affiant sayeth not.



George R. Wagner

State of New Mexico)
County of Bernalillo) ss.

Subscribed and sworn to before me this 23rd day of January, 1990.

my commission expires:

June 21, 1993




State of New Mexico)
County of Bernalillo) ss.

On January 23, 1990, personally appeared before me, a notary public, George R. Wagner, personally known to be the person whose name is subscribed to the above instrument, who acknowledged that he executed the instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal.

My commission expires:

June 21, 1993



BOOK 207 PAGE 407

Approved as to Form by General Counsel,
January 29, 1971

EXHIBIT A

L-3363-A
Sheet 1 of 4 Sheets

R-25-36-49

LEASE OF MINING RIGHTS

Lease No. SPL-357

This Lease, made this 1st day of December, 1975, by and between SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

a corporation, hereinafter called "Lessor" and TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, INC.,

hereinafter called "Lessee":

WITNESSETH:

1. Lessor, for and in consideration of the observance and faithful performance by Lessee of all the provisions contained in this lease, does hereby lease to Lessee for the term and purposes hereinafter mentioned, all that certain property sometimes hereinafter referred to as "premises" in the County of Eureka,

State of Nevada, more particularly described as follows:

W $\frac{1}{4}$ of Section 25, Township 36 North, Range 49 East, MDM.,
containing 320.00 acres, more or less.

Reserving unto Lessor, its successors and assigns, the right to construct, reconstruct, maintain and use pipelines, wire lines, conduits, ditches, flumes, roads, trails, tracks and appurtenances thereto in, over, upon, along and across said property and the right to use said property for any and all other purposes consistent with Lessee's use of said property for the purposes herein leased.

This lease is made for the sole purpose of examining, searching and testing for, opening and operating of mines, and extracting, reducing, treating, selling and shipping any and all nonferrous metallic minerals hereinafter referred to as "leased mineral", contained therein, subject to the exceptions, reservations, covenants and conditions in this lease. Lessee shall have the exclusive right hereunder only to mine for the mineral hereinabove specified and none other. There is excepted from this lease and reserved unto Lessor, its successors and assigns, all other minerals, including but not limited to oil, petroleum, natural gas and other hydrocarbons, and the exclusive rights thereto; provided, however, that in the event Lessee shall recover and sell any other minerals as a by-product of said leased mineral or as a necessary or unavoidable result thereof, Lessee shall report all such other minerals in the monthly statements hereinafter provided for and pay royalty on such other minerals at the rates hereinafter specified.

This lease is made subject to any and all rights of way and easements and to existing leases and licenses and renewals thereof.

2. The term of this lease shall be from the 1st day of December, 1975, to the 30th day of November, 1976. Lessee agrees to pay annually in advance to Lessor a minimum royalty of \$5.00 per acre per annum; however not less than \$200.00 per year during the term of this lease and each renewal term thereof, to be credited as payment on account of actual royalties to be paid by Lessee to Lessor hereunder. Lessee agrees to pay to Lessor an agreed actual royalty of 5% of the gross value of gold or silver bullion produced in Lessee's mills or by a custom mill in which gold or silver bullion is produced for Lessee's account and/or 75% of the net smelter return on ores and concentrates sold to a smelter.

Exhibit "A"

extracted and removed from said property.

BOOK 207 PAGE 408

After all of the minimum royalty credits for each annual period hereunder has been credited to said actual royalties accruing during such period, Lessee shall thereafter, within twenty days after the expiration of each and every calendar month of the term hereof, pay the additional actual royalties at the rates provided by herein, and furnish Lessor full and complete copies of all documents, including settlement sheets, certificates of analyses, and assays, pertaining to or governing settlement for any ore, mineral or metal disposed of and also full and complete reports of any reduction works or mill where the ore may be reduced or treated, and setting forth for the preceding calendar month:

- (a) The number of tons of ore or mineral mined and the gross value thereof.
- (b) The number of tons of ore remaining in stockpiles on said premises.
- (c) The kind, quantity and value of all minerals extracted and sold or otherwise disposed of.
- (d) The disposition made of all ore or minerals mined, together with the dates of shipments, names and addresses of consignees, car numbers, initials and weights.
- (e) That the said premises are being worked independently of and not in connection with any other mine or mineral land.

Such statement and reports to be accompanied by draft payable to the Lessor for the proper amount of the royalty due Lessor as aforesaid. If no work is done a statement in writing to that effect must be furnished. In the event the true value of any shipment of said ore or mineral cannot be determined by Lessee, prior to rendering monthly statement to Lessor, as hereinafter provided, Lessee shall pay to Lessor at the time of rendering such statement, eighty-five per cent of the estimated royalty, based on careful sampling of said ore or mineral at point of shipment, and the true value of such shipment shall, as soon as possible thereafter, be determined and thereupon the balance of royalty thereon shall be paid to Lessor, but such true value shall be determined and the balance of royalty shall be paid to Lessor not later than ninety days from the date of any such shipment. The royalties hereinbefore provided for shall be a lien upon any and all ore or minerals mined upon the said property and upon any improvements erected upon the said property. The advance minimum royalty for each annual period hereunder may be credited only against the actual royalties accruing during such period.

3. Lessee agrees to work said premises in a manner consistent with good, prudent economical mining, so as to take out the greatest amount of ore possible, with due regard to the development and preservation of said premises as a workable mine. Lessee agrees to perform continuously and diligently in good faith, in an active and substantial way, exploration and mining work upon said leased premises directed toward the discovery and production of said leased mineral. Lessee, not later than the twentieth day of each calendar month during the term hereof, and including the calendar month next following the termination hereof, shall furnish to Lessor a statement in writing detailing such exploration and mining work. Lessee shall, upon being requested to do so, make available to Lessor, its agents and employees, copies of assay reports, drill hole logs and any and all other data assembled as an aid in determining the location, quantity and quality of any mineral deposits on said property.

4. The obligations of Lessee under this lease shall be suspended only while Lessee's compliance is prevented by the elements, accidents, strikes, lockouts, riots, delays in transportation, inability to secure materials in the open market, or interference by governmental action, or by any other causes beyond the reasonable control of the Lessee whether similar or dissimilar to the causes specifically mentioned.

5. Lessee shall keep a full set of accounts, records, and maps showing location of working places and shall allow Lessor, or its agents or employees, to examine them from time to time. Lessee shall allow Lessor to enter upon said premises, and into any workings, mills or reduction works thereon, or wherever mineral bearing materials from the leased premises may be worked or reduced, for the purpose of inspection to ascertain whether the terms and conditions of this lease are being properly carried out, and to take samples and to make tests and measurements and to advise notices.

6. Lessee shall do any and all work necessary to safeguard against accidents and to properly conserve said property and minerals, in a first-class manner, compatible with safe and economical mining, and Lessee shall keep all workings in which ore is exposed clear of all loose rock, earth and rubbish and shall keep all surface openings securely covered or fenced against livestock.

7. In the operation and development of said leased premises, Lessee shall comply with and observe all applicable laws, ordinances, and governmental orders and regulations including, but not limited to, Employers' Liability, Workmen's Compensation and Workmen's Unemployment Insurance. Lessee shall furnish such evidence as Lessor may require showing that Lessee has complied with the requirements and conditions imposed under laws, ordinances and governmental orders and governmental orders and regulations applicable to Lessee's exercise of the rights granted under this lease. Lessee agrees to indemnify and hold harmless Lessor from and against the payment of any and all damages, claims, costs and expenses due to the existence of such enactments, and of any and all claims, costs and expenses in connection therewith under any claim of subrogation provided for by said enactments or otherwise.

8. Lessee shall not mine for any of the minerals excepted from this lease and shall not destroy, cut or remove, nor permit to be destroyed, cut or removed, on or from said land, any timber, trees or firewood, without written permission from Lessor so to do. Lessee shall not divert or obstruct any stream of water on said land, nor divert any water from said property, except that Lessee may, subject to existing rights and statutes and regulations, use any appropriated water reasonably required for such mining operations. Lessee shall not interfere with, destroy or remove any fences on or enclosing said property nor leave gates open, nor interfere with livestock grazing within such enclosures. Lessee shall not do or suffer to be done in or upon said property any act or thing which is or may be a nuisance and Lessee shall not use or permit others to use said property, or any part thereof, for any unlawful or immoral purposes.

9. Lessee may construct, maintain and use such roads, ditches, buildings, fixtures and machinery on, through and upon said property as may be necessary or convenient in carrying on mining operations.

10. Lessee shall not construct, alter or repair structures or improvements of any character upon said land, except emergency repairs, until after written notice is given to Lessor. Lessor may post and maintain upon the leased premises notices of nonresponsibility as provided by law. Lessee agrees to pay in full all persons who perform labor or services on, furnish materials joined or affixed to, or provide equipment for, said property, or the construction, reconstruction, repair or placement of any structure or improvement on said property, at Lessee's instance or request. Lessee shall not permit or suffer liens of any kind or nature to be enforced against said property for such labor, services, materials or equipment. Lessor may pay such amount as may be required to release any such lien or liens, to defend any action brought thereon, or to satisfy a judgment entered therein. Lessee agrees to reimburse Lessor for all costs, damages, reasonable attorney fees and amounts paid by Lessor.

hereby leased and on all of Lessor's personal property and improvements on and property which become a lien on said property during the term of this lease, and all production or acreage taxes, paid or based upon production of minerals, excepting, however, the taxes on the minerals which are accepted and received from this lease, and if not so paid by Lessee, Lessee may, at its option, pay such taxes and assessments which are a lien on the real property, or on the mineral and mining rights, and any penalties and interest thereon, as a Lessee agrees to refund to Lessor on demand in the full amount of such payments. Lessee agrees to pay, as and when the same become due and payable, and to save and indemnify Lessor from and against all taxes and assessments which are assessed on or levied on said leased premises or portion thereof, on all minerals and ores produced therefrom pursuant to the production statement on all property or improvements that may be placed or installed thereon by or under Lessee, during the period this lease shall remain in effect with respect thereto. In the event that this lease is terminated in whole or in part prior to the date when taxes and assessments on the leased premises become due and payable, Lessee agrees, on such termination, to pay to Lessor a sum equivalent to the taxes levied upon that part of the leased premises so terminated for the fiscal tax year immediately preceding the current fiscal tax year, prorated to the date of such termination. Lessee shall not permit or suffer said leased premises or any part thereof, or any minerals and ores mined therefrom, or any improvements or personal property thereon, to be sold at any time for such taxes. Notwithstanding the above provisions, Lessee shall not be liable for any taxes and assessments levied upon the rights reserved hereunder by Lessor, its successors and assigns.

12. Lessor shall not be liable, from any cause whatsoever, for any injury to or death of any officer, agent or employee of Lessee, or any other person whatsoever, while upon or in proximity to said premises in connection with the business of Lessee, or for loss or destruction of or damage to any property owned by, or in the custody or control of Lessee or any other person or persons whatsoever, brought, stored or placed upon or in proximity to said premises in connection with the business of Lessee. Lessor hereby releases and discharges and agrees to indemnify and save harmless Lessor from and against any and all claims, liability, demands, causes of action, costs and expenses for injuries to or deaths of any and all persons and loss or destruction of or damage to any and all property, caused by or arising out of the exercise of the rights leased hereunder, irrespective of any negligence on the part of Lessor, or the officers, agents or employees thereof.

13. In case Lessee shall, except by Lessor, be lawfully deprived of the possession of the rights hereby leased, or any part thereof, Lessee shall notify Lessor in writing setting forth in full the circumstances in relation thereto, whereupon Lessor may, at its option, either reinstall Lessee in possession of said rights or terminate this lease and refund to Lessee the pro rata amount of any rental theretofore paid in advance for the unexpired term of this lease, from and after the receipt of such notice, whereupon no claims for damages of whatsoever kind or character incurred by Lessee by reason of such disposition shall be chargeable against Lessor.

14. Upon breach by Lessee of any of the terms, covenants or conditions of this lease and Lessee's failure to remedy the default within thirty days after written notice from Lessor so to do, then at the option of Lessor, notice of the exercise of which shall be given to Lessee in writing, this lease shall forthwith cease and determine and all rights of Lessee in and to said property shall be at an end, whereupon Lessee shall vacate said premises and surrender possession thereof to Lessor. The waiver by Lessor of any breach of any covenant or condition thereof shall not be deemed a waiver of any other or subsequent breach hereof nor of any other covenant or condition hereof. The acceptance of payments hereunder by Lessor shall not be deemed to be a waiver of any preceding breach by Lessee of any covenant or condition hereof, other than the failure of Lessee to pay such particular payment so accepted, regardless of Lessor's knowledge of such preceding breach at the time of acceptance of such payment.

15. If Lessee is adjudicated a bankrupt, or shall make an assignment for the benefit of creditors, or file a voluntary petition under any law having for its purpose the adjudication of Lessee a bankrupt, or the extension of time of payment, composition, adjustment, modification, settlement or satisfaction of the liabilities of Lessee, or a receiver be appointed for the property of Lessee by reason of the insolvency of Lessee, notwithstanding anything to the contrary elsewhere in the lease, Lessor shall have the immediate right to terminate this lease and to take exclusive possession of the leased premises. The acceptance of royalty or other payments hereunder shall not constitute a waiver of Lessor's right to terminate this lease as above set forth.

16. In case Lessor shall bring suit to compel performance of, or to recover for breach of any covenant or condition herein written, or for declaratory relief, and prevails therein, Lessee agrees to pay to Lessor reasonable attorney fees in addition to the amount of judgment and costs.

108

17. Lessee agrees to pay to Lessor interest at the rate of 9 1/2% per annum upon any and all amounts whatsoever due under this lease to Lessor from the due payment of each such amount is due and owing to Lessor or from the date of each breach by Lessee of an obligation hereunder, as the case may be, to the date of receipt by Lessor of each payment of said amounts, unless such payment is tendered or paid to Lessor within 30 days after the date a payment is due and owing hereunder to Lessor or the date of such breach, as the case may be.

18. Any demand, notice or statement herein requested or required to be given by one party to the other shall be in writing. Delivery of such written demand, notice or statement to Lessor shall be conclusively taken as sufficient if and when deposited in the United States Mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, certified and addressed to Lessor at One Market Street, San Francisco, California 94105, and the payments by Lessee to Lessor hereunder shall be made at the above address. Delivery of such demand, notice or statement to Lessee shall be conclusively taken as sufficient if and when deposited in the United States Mail, with postage thereon fully prepaid, certified and addressed to Lessee at Room 26-27, 39 Exchange Place, Salt Lake City, Utah 84111.

Any party hereto may change by written notice as above provided, the address to which such demands, notices or statements to such party may be sent and Lessor may change the address at which payments shall be made by written notice to Lessee.

19. Lessee may at any time surrender and terminate this lease, in whole or as to any one or more 40 acre subdivisions of said property, upon giving notice in writing to Lessor to that effect and paying all minimum rentals and royalties and any other sums due on the property so surrendered.

20. Upon termination of this lease in any manner, Lessee shall surrender and deliver unto Lessor the quiet and peaceful possession of said leased premises in neat, clean and safe condition and shall quitclaim to Lessor all of the right, title and interest of Lessee in said property. Lessee shall provide, with each quitclaim, upon demand by Lessor, a title report issued by a responsible title company covering the property so quitclaimed as of the date of recordation of the quitclaim. If such title report discloses any encumbrances or liens affecting title to said property done, made or suffered by Lessee, or anyone claiming under Lessee, Lessee shall take such steps as may be necessary to extinguish such encumbrances or liens, failing in which Lessor may

at Lessee's cost and expense, including reasonable attorney fees, which cost and expense Lessee agrees to pay to Lessor on demand. At the termination hereof Lessee may remove all machinery, tools, appliances, and buildings and all personal property placed or constructed upon said premises by Lessee, provided no default shall at such time exist in respect of any payments or rentals, or in respect of any covenants, agreements or conditions to be kept and performed by Lessee; and provided further that all timbering and supports within the mine shall be left in good condition whenever the Lessee may terminate the premises, or this lease be terminated, also provided that all machinery, tools, appliances, and buildings and all personal property remaining on said premises sixty days after the termination (by notice or otherwise) of this lease, shall be deemed to have become the property of Lessor and shall not be removed therefrom by Lessee.

From the date of the expiration of the term hereof, subject to the same covenants, terms, covenants, payments and conditions, effect the original term of this lease; provided, this lease shall not be terminated prior thereto and Lessee is not then in default with respect to any of Lessee's obligations hereunder, provided, further, that written notice from Lessee of the exercise of such option shall be received by Lessor not more than sixty days after the expiration of the term hereof.

22. Commencing with the lease year immediately following the first lease year in which saleable mineral products are produced from the leased premises for three calendar months or more, and for each succeeding year this lease remains in effect, said advance minimum royalty payments shall be increased or decreased each year in proportion to the amount of the increase or decrease in the "escalation percentage" for the lease year immediately preceding the lease year for which said advance minimum royalty payment is to be made.

The term "escalation percentage" as used herein shall, for any particular lease year, mean the percentage variation, if any, of the "weighted average sales price" received or receivable by Lessee for mineral products produced and sold by Lessee in the leased premises, from the "weighted average sales price" received or receivable by Lessee for similar products during the first calendar or base year in which Lessee produces and sells such products.

For purposes of calculating the "escalation percentage" (or de-escalation percentage) to be applied to the minimum advance royalties, the sum of the "weighted average sales prices" received for mineral products produced and sold shall be divided by the number of such commodities produced and sold during the lease year, and the resulting quotient shall be the "weighted average sales price" used to calculate the percentage variation from the first calendar or base year. This percentage variation shall be the "escalation percentage" or "de-escalation percentage" as the case may be.

The term "lease year" as referred to in this section 22 shall include, in addition to the original term, each and every year this lease is renewed pursuant to the provisions of section 21.

23. If there be more than one person named as Lessee the Lessee's obligations shall be joint and several and the term Lessee wherever used in this lease shall, unless otherwise specified, include the plural as well as the singular.

24. This instrument is intended as, and is, a lease. Lessor shall not be called upon or be required to make any repairs, incur any expense of any kind or nature upon or in connection with said property, for and during the term of this lease, and all such expenses shall be borne by Lessee.

25. Time and specific performance are of the essence of this lease.

26. Lessee shall not assign this lease, or any interest therein, without the written consent of Lessor first had and obtained. The consent to one assignment by Lessor shall not be deemed to be a consent to a subsequent assignment. This lease shall not, nor shall any interest therein, be assignable, as the interest of the Lessee, by operation of law, without the written consent of Lessor. Subject to the above, the provisions contained in this lease shall inure to the benefit of and be binding upon the respective heirs, administrators, executors, personal representatives, successors and assigns of the parties hereto.

Provision 27 on rider attached is made a part hereof.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties hereto have executed this lease as of the day and year first herein written.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY

By [Signature]
General Manager, Natural Resources

TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, INC.

Witnessed by [Signature]
Vice President,
William J. Mc Nabb.

By [Signature]
President.

R I D E R

Rider attached to and made a part of Lease No.
dated 1st December, 1975 from Southern Pacific Land Company
to Temple Mountain Industries, Inc.

27. Lessee shall have the option of renewing this lease annually for a period not exceeding four years from the date of expiration of the term hereof and annually from year to year so long thereafter as said land is being operated as provided herein and production royalty is paid, not to exceed, however, a total term of twenty-five years, upon the same reservations, terms, covenants and conditions as herein set forth; provided, this lease shall not have been terminated prior thereto and Lessee is not then in default with respect to any of Lessee's obligations hereunder, and provided further, that written notice from Lessee of the exercise of such option shall be received by Lessor not more than six months, nor less than thirty days, prior to the expiration of said term or each annual period, as the case may be.

*Mined Processed and
Natural Gas
if not - if term*

EXHIBIT B

1 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
2 Alfred H. Osborne, Esq.
3 Nicholas F. Frey, Esq.
4 555 South Center Street
5 Reno, Nevada 89501
6 Telephone: (702) 323-8678
7 Attorneys for Defendant

FILED

[Handwritten signature]

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 ***
11 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

12 Plaintiff,

Cv. No. 81-0183 ECR

13 vs.

MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

14 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

AND

15 Defendants

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT
AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
JUDGMENT

16
17
18 COMES NOW the Defendant, SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY
19 pursuant to F.R.C.P. Rule 56 and hereby respectfully requests the
20 Court to award summary judgment in its favor in the above-entitled
21 action.

22 Defendant discusses the grounds for summary judgment
23 in its memorandum of authorities which is attached hereto and
24 incorporated by reference. It bases its motion upon that memorandum
25 the documents attached hereto, and the other pleadings of file.

26 Respectfully submitted this 19th day of January, 1982

27
28 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
29 Alfred H. Osborne, Esquire
30 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire

31 By: *[Handwritten signature]*

NICHOLAS F. FREY
555 South Center Street
Reno, Nevada 89501
Attorneys for Defendant

32
ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
ALFRED H. OSBORNE, ESQUIRE
NICHOLAS F. FREY, ESQUIRE
555 SOUTH CENTER STREET
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT

In August of 1981, this action was transferred to the District of Nevada. Since that date, the only activity in the case has been Defendant's discovery efforts. On December 7, 1981, Defendant deposited for mailing to the Plaintiff Requests for Admissions (Exhibit 1) and Interrogatories (Exhibit 2). On January 7, 1982, Southern Pacific Land Company noticed the deposition of Robert L. Patrie, President of Plaintiff Temple Mountain Industries, as well as the deposition of those "partners, employees or representatives who will testify at trial" and [a]ny person or person designated by the Plaintiff who "has knowledge of the facts forming the basis of the allegations of the Complaint. (Exhibit 3). To date, the discovery cut-off date having arrived incidentally, Defendant has received no response to its Request for Admission and no answers or objections to its Interrogatories. Indeed, the Plaintiff or its agents failed to appear for the notice deposition and obtained no order prior to the date and time set for those depositions excusing the absence of those noticed individuals

ARGUMENT

Whenever a party requests admissions of the other party pursuant to Rule 36 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, pursuant to that rule those matters are "admitted unless, within 30 days after service of the request... the party to whom the request is directed serves upon the party requesting the admission a written answer or objection addressed to the matter..." The Courts have freely acknowledged and employed this sanction. See, e.g., O'Campo v. Hardistry, 262 F.2d 621 (9th Cir. 1958).

Thus, in the present case, in view of Plaintiff's failure to answer or object to Defendant's Request for Admissions, the matters contained therein are admitted. Those admissions provide alternate grounds upon which SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY

L. VERRA AND
ONE, CHARTERED
LITIGANTIAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSEL AT LAW
4TH CENTER ST.
RENO, NV 89501
PHONE 223-8678

1 bases the present motion for summary judgment. No material issue
2 of fact bars the award of summary judgment, the Court need only
3 make a determination of law.

4 Thus, the Plaintiff must be deemed to have admitted
5 that, "during the period from December 1, 1975 to November 30,
6 1980, or portion of that period, in violation of paragraph 26
7 of the subject lease [it] assigned the subject lease, or
8 interest therein, to another person, corporation or other entity."
9 (Exhibit 1, Request No. 1) It is hornbook law that the parties are
10 free to fix between themselves the right to assign. See the
11 cases collected in 49 Am. Jur. 2d Landlord and Tenant 405.
12 Violation of a covenant against assignment justifies a lessor's
13 termination of the lease. Therefore, the termination of the
14 subject lease in the present case and the refusal to renew was
15 warranted as a matter of law by Plaintiff's unauthorized assign-
16 ment and, therefore, Plaintiff must fail as a matter of law in
17 its action against the Defendant.

18 In addition, the subject lease obliged the Plaintiff
19 to "continuously, diligently, and actively in a substantial way,
20 explore and mine on the leased premises"; to "furnish to Lessor
21 [for each calendar month] a statement in writing detailing such
22 exploration and mining work"; to provide monthly statements of
23 operation pursuant to paragraph 2 of the lease and to provide
24 other "statements" and "reports" described under paragraph 2; to
25 inform the lessor of monthly periods (of which there were) in which
26 no work was done upon the leased premises. (Exhibit 1, Request
27 Nos. 5, 6, 7, 9, and 10). Plaintiff failed to comply with any
28 of these covenants in any material respect, and Plaintiff must
29 be deemed to have admitted such material breach. (Id.).

30 After the fourth year the lease required Plaintiff to
31 be operating the leased premises as provided in the lease (para
32 27), and yet during the relevant period no "production," as

HEVERIA AND
LORENE CHARTERS
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
SOUTH CENTER ST.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
PHONE 222-8678

1 required in paragraphs 2 and 3 of the lease, of the leased
2 minerals occurred, Plaintiff mined no leased minerals nor sold
3 them, and did not "continuously, diligently, and actively and in
4 a substantial way, explore and mine on the leased premises...."
5 (Id., Requests 11, 12, and 5). As such, the Plaintiff's own
6 complaint allegations are contradicted, there occurred material
7 breaches by the Plaintiff, and the Defendant was fully justified
8 as a matter of law in refusing to renew the subject lease.

9 Finally, the Plaintiff must be deemed to have admitted
10 that it did not comply with any notice requirements for renewal
11 of the lease. Paragraph 27 of the subject lease required for
12 renewal "written notice from Lessee of the exercise of such option,
13 not more, than six months, nor less than thirty days, prior to
14 the expiration of said term or each annual period..." Yet,
15 Plaintiff admits in its complaint that it "failed to give
16 Defendant at least thirty (30) days written notice of its intention
17 to renew" during the relevant period. (Complaint ¶6). Plaintiff
18 contends in its complaint that new notice and renewal require-
19 ments, arose (Complaint ¶7), yet the Plaintiff must now be
20 deemed to have admitted that, "even if...new notice requirements
21 for lease renewal [were established], Plaintiff failed to
22 reasonably comply in 1980 with even these new requirements," which
23 are described more specifically in the Request for Admission.
24 (Requests for Admission, Request Nos. 3 and 4). Therefore, since
25 Plaintiff did not comply with the provisions regulating renewal
26 of the lease, it cannot be heard now to complain that SOUTHERN
27 PACIFIC LAND COMPANY refused to renew.

28 Accordingly, the Defendant, SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND
29 COMPANY is entitled to judgment in its favor as a matter of law
30 upon these various grounds and respectfully requests this Court
31

32 ///

///

GEORGIA AND
DOR. CHARTERS
A REGIONAL
ACTION
NETS AND
CLUBS AT LAW
5TH CENTER ST.
RENO, NV 89501
TEL 323-9576

1 to award such judgment.

2 Respectfully submitted this 19th day of January, 1982.

3
4 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
5 Alfred H. Osborne, Esquire
6 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire

7 By: Nicholas F. Frey
8 NICHOLAS F. FREY
9 555 South Center Street
10 Reno, Nevada 89501

11 Attorneys for Defendant.
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

EVERIA AND
THE CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-6678

-5-

BOOK 207 PAGE 417

1 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
2 Alfred H. Osborne, Esquire
3 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire
4 555 South Center Street
5 Reno, Nevada 89501
6 Telephone: (702) 323-8678
7
8 Attorneys for Defendant

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

15 Defendants.

Cv. No. 81-0183 ECR

PROPOSED FINDINGS OF FACT AND
CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND
JUDGMENT

16
17
18 Defendant, SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY, pursuant to
19 Rule 16 (f) of the Rules of Practice for the United States
20 District Court for the District of Nevada, submits the following
21 Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law and Judgment:

22 FINDINGS OF FACT

23 1. On December 7, 1982, Defendant, SOUTHERN PACIFIC
24 LAND COMPANY served upon the Plaintiff by properly mailing Request
25 for Admission. On the same date SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY
26 mailed to the Plaintiff's counsel Interrogatories.

27 2. Plaintiff, TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES failed
28 without justification to timely answer or object to said Requests
29 for Admission.

30 3. On January 18, 1982, the time for completion of
31 discovery in the above-entitled action expired without Defendant,
32 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY having been served with answers or

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
NICHOLAS F. FREY AND
ALFRED H. OSBORNE
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678

1 objections to its Requests for Admissions.

2 4. That said Requests for Admission examined upon the
3 following matters, namely, whether Plaintiff admits that:

4 (1) During the period from December 1, 1975, to November
5 30, 1980, or portion of that period, in violation of paragraph 26
6 of the subject lease it assigned the subject lease, or interest
7 therein, to another person, corporation or other entity.

8 (2) Western States Minerals Corporation has reimbursed
9 the plaintiff on various occasions for the annual lease rental
10 payment, i.e., the "advance minimum royalty" as defined in the
11 lease or in its complaint.

12 (3) As of November 30, 1980, plaintiff had provided
13 SOUTHERN PACIFIC with no confirmation that the subject property
14 was "being operated" under the terms of the agreement.

15 (4) Even if defendant's reminder letter of November 10,
16 1976, to plaintiff established new notice requirements for lease
17 renewal, plaintiff failed to reasonably comply in 1980 with even
18 these new requirements since defendant's November 10, 1976,
19 letter stated that if renewal was desired by lessee, the advance
20 minimum royalty had to be "received" before the expiration date
21 of that term, and since, unlike any prior payment by the plain-
22 tiff of an advance minimum royalty, plaintiff's 1980 payment was
23 not mailed or received until after the expiration date of
24 November 30, 1980.

25 (5) (Separately for each item listed below) that it did
26 not (as required by paragraph 3 of the lease) continuously, dili-
27 gently, and actively and in a substantial way, explore and mine
28 on the leased premises in Nevada.

29 (a) During the term from December 1, 1979, to
30 November 30, 1980;

31 (b) During the terms from December 1, 1975, to
32 November 30, 1979.

NEVERETT AND
WINE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
SOUTH CENTER ST.
LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101
PHONE 333-8676

1 (6) It did not comply in all material respects with the
2 terms of paragraph 3 of the subject lease (excluding the terms
3 dealt with in the preceding Request for Admission No. 5 and
4 excluding the last sentence of said paragraph 3).

5 (7) It did not provide the defendant, as provided for in
6 paragraph 2 of the subject lease in part, every monthly Statement
7 of Operations within 20 days after the expiration of each and
8 every calendar month during the following terms (or periods) of
9 the lease, as required in part by paragraph 2 of the subject
10 lease:

11 (a) During the 1979-1980 lease term;

12 (b) During the 1975-1979 lease terms.

13 (8) It did not send for each one-year lease term during
14 the life of the lease any royalty to the defendant other than the
15 advance minimum royalty.

16 (9) It did not comply with paragraph 2 of the lease
17 insofar as its requirement that certain specified "statements"
18 and "reports" be transmitted by the plaintiff to the defendant,
19 during:

20 (a) The 1979-1980 lease term;

21 (b) The prior lease terms.

22 (10) There were monthly periods in which no work was done
23 upon the leased premises, and admit further that, despite this
24 fact, no statement in writing to that effect was, furnished to
25 the defendant, as required by paragraph 2 in part, of the lease.

26 (11) Its exploration and mining (or that of any assignee)
27 during the relevant period in 1975 to 1980 caused no "production"
28 (as the word is used in paragraph 2 and 3 of the lease) of the
29 leased minerals.

30 (12) It did not mine or sell any leased minerals during
31 any relevant period covered by the lease.
32

VERBIA AND
OS. CHARLES
NATIONAL
CORPORATION
MINES AND
CLUBS AT LAW
874 CENTER ST.
RENO NV 89501
TNS 325-8878

1 5. Paragraph 27 of the subject lease provides:

2 27. Lessee shall have the option of renewing this
3 lease annually for a period not exceeding four years
4 from the date of expiration of the term hereof and
5 annually from year to year so long thereafter
6 as said land is being operated as provided herein
7 and production royalty is paid, not to exceed,
8 however, a total term of twenty-five years, upon
9 the same reservations, terms, covenants and
10 conditions as herein set forth; provided, this
11 lease shall not have been terminated prior
12 thereto and Lessee is not then in default with
13 respect to any of Lessee's obligations hereunder,
14 and provided further, that written notice from
15 Lessee of the exercise of such option
16 shall be received by Lessor not more than six
17 months, nor less than thirty days, prior to the
18 expiration of said term or each annual period,
19 as the case may be.

12 CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

13 1. The said Request for Admissions served upon the
14 Plaintiff by the Defendant, SOUTHERN PACIFIC TRANSPORTATION COMPANY
15 are deemed to have been admitted by the Plaintiff.

16 2. Said admissions contradict the material allegations
17 of Plaintiff's Complaint, and resolve the issues of material fact
18 as a matter of law.

19 3. The said admissions show the Plaintiff, as a matter
20 of law, to have been in default, without justification, of material
21 provisions of the subject lease sued upon by the Plaintiff.

22 4. The said admission as well as Paragraph 6 of
23 Plaintiff's Complaint show the Plaintiff, as a matter of law to
24 have failed to comply with any requirements for renewal of the
25 lease, whether found expressly in the terms of the lease or
26 established by the subsequent conduct of the parties.

27 5. The Defendant is entitled to a summary judgment
28 in its favor.

29 WHEREFORE, by virtue of the law and reason of the
30 premises aforesaid, IT IS ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that the
31 Defendant have judgment against the Plaintiff, and that Plaintiff
32 take nothing or receive no relief by way of its Complaint.

VERREA AND
ONE, CHARTERS
PERSONAL
FORMATION
RIGHTS AND
REGIONS AT LAW
9TH CENTER ST.
REDADE 89001
TONE 229-6678

1 IT IS ORDERED AND DECREED that the subject lease by and
2 between the parties expired, and that the Defendant with just cause
3 refused to renew the said lease at the end of its last one-year
4 term in November 1980.

5 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED AND DECREED that the Defendant
6 have and recover, from said Plaintiff, Defendant's costs and
7 disbursements in this action amounting to the sum of _____
8 and a reasonable attorneys' fee in the amount of _____.

9 JUDGMENT RENDERED this _____ day of _____, 1982.

10

11

SUBMITTED BY:

ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
Alfred H. Osborne, Esquire
Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire

12

13

14

By: Nicholas F. Frey
NICHOLAS F. FREY
555 South Center Street
Reno, Nevada 89501

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 333-9478

1 Alfred H. Osborne, Esquire
2 ECHEVERRIA & OSBORNE, CHARTERED
3 555 South Center Street
4 Reno, Nevada 89501
5 Telephone: (702) 323-8678
6
7 Attorneys for Defendant
8

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

15 Defendant.

Cv. No. 81-0183 ECR
REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION
TO PLAINTIFF

16 TO: Plaintiff above-named, and to its attorney, Brad L. Swaner,
17 722 Boston Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah 84111;

18 Defendant SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY hereby requests
19 the plaintiff TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, a Utah corporation, to
20 admit the following Requests for Admission in the time and manner
21 provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

22 The following provisions apply to all Requests for
23 Admission:

24 1. In responding to these Requests for Admissions, you
25 are required to employ such information as may have been obtained
26 by, or as is known to or is in the possession of the named plain-
27 tiff, counsel for said plaintiff, and all agents, servants and
28 employees, representatives, investigators, or anyone else acting
29 in plaintiff's behalf.

30 2. The terms "you" or "yours" refer to plaintiff TEMPLE
31 MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES.

32 3. SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY will be alternatively

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678

1 referred to herein as "SOUTHERN PACIFIC" or as "defendant".
2 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES is alternatively referred to herein as
3 "TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES" and "plaintiff."

4 4. The terms "subject lease" or "lease" refer to the
5 lease between the plaintiff and defendant upon the basis of which
6 plaintiff has brought this action and which is attached to
7 plaintiff's complaint as an exhibit.

8 REQUESTS FOR ADMISSION

9 Request for Admission:

10 1. Admit that, during the period from December 1,
11 1975, to November 30, 1980, or portion of that period, in viola-
12 tion of paragraph 26 of the subject lease you assigned the sub-
13 ject lease, or interest therein, to another person, corporation
14 or other entity.

15 2. Admit that, Western States Minerals Corporation has
16 reimbursed the plaintiff on various occasions for the annual
17 lease rental payment, i.e., the "advance minimum royalty" as
18 defined in the lease or in your complaint.

19 3. Admit that, as of November 30, 1980, plaintiff had
20 provided SOUTHERN PACIFIC with no confirmation that the subject
21 property was "being operated" under the terms of the agreement.

22 4. Admit that, even if defendant's reminder letter of
23 November 10, 1976, to plaintiff established new notice require-
24 ments for lease renewal, plaintiff failed to reasonably comply in
25 1980 with even these new requirements since defendant's November
26 10, 1976, letter stated that if renewal was desired by lessee,
27 the advance minimum royalty had to be "received" before the
28 expiration date of that term, and since, unlike any prior payment
29 by the plaintiff of an advance minimum royalty, plaintiff's 1980
30 payment was not mailed or received until after the expiration
31 date of November 30, 1980.

32 5. Admit (separately for each item listed below) that

BURRIS AND
A. CHARTERED
LOCAL
CATION
1975 AND
LORE AT LAW
IN CHARGE BY
LAWYER BRISOL
4 923-8878

1 you did not (as required by paragraph 3 of the lease) con-
2 tinuously, diligently, and actively and in a substantial way,
3 explore and mine on the leased premises in Nevada.

4 (a) During the term from December 1, 1979, to
5 November 30, 1980;

6 (b) During the terms from December 1, 1975, to
7 November 30, 1979.

8 6. Admit that you did not comply in all material
9 respects with the terms of paragraph 3 of the subject lease
10 (excluding the terms dealt with in the preceding Request for
11 Admission No. 5 and excluding the last sentence of said paragraph
12 3).

13 7. Admit that you did not provide the defendant, as
14 provided for in paragraph 2 of the subject lease in part, every
15 monthly Statement of Operations within 20 days after the expira-
16 tion of each and every calendar month during the following terms
17 (or periods) of the lease, as required in part by paragraph 2 of
18 the subject lease:

19 (a) During the 1979-1980 lease term;

20 (b) During the 1975-1979 lease terms.

21 8. Admit that you did not send for each one-year lease
22 term during the life of the lease any royalty to the defendant
23 other than the advance minimum royalty.

24 9. Admit that you did not comply with paragraph 2 of
25 the lease insofar as its requirement that certain specified
26 "statements" and "reports" be transmitted by the plaintiff to the
27 defendant, during:

28 (a) The 1979-1980 lease term;

29 (b) The prior lease terms.

30 10. Admit that there were monthly periods in which no
31 work was done upon the leased premises, and admit further that,
32 despite this fact, no statement in writing to that effect was,

EVERETT AND
SHE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
YONKERS AND
NEW YORK
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
400 WEST 57TH ST.
NEW YORK, N.Y. 10019
PHONE 212-697-9779

1 furnished to the defendant, as required by paragraph 2 in part,
2 of the lease.

3 11. Admit that your exploration and mining (or that of
4 any assignee) during the relevant period in 1975 to 1980 caused
5 no "production" (as the word is used in paragraph 2 and 3 of the
6 lease) of the leased minerals.

7 12. Admit that you did not mine or sell any leased
8 minerals during any relevant period covered by the lease.

9 DATED this 14 day of December, 1981.

10
11 ALFRED H. OSBORNE, ESQ.
12 NICHOLAS F. FREY, ESQ.
13 ECHEVERRIA & OSBORNE, CHARTERED

14 By: Nicholas F. Frey
15 NICHOLAS F. FREY
16 Attorneys for the Defendant
17 555 South Center Street
18 Reno, Nevada 89501

19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-6676

-4-

BOOK 207 PAGE 426

1 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire
2 ECHEVERRIA & OSBORNE, CHARTERED
3 555 South Center Street
4 Reno, Nevada 89501
5 Telephone: (702) 323-8678
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY.

Defendant.

Cv. No. 81-0183 ECR
AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

STATE OF NEVADA)
COUNTY OF WASHOE) ss.

I, Terrie Jacqueline Teske being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That affiant is, and was when the herein described mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action; that on the 7th day of December, 1981, affiant deposited with the United States Post Office at Reno, Nevada, a copy of Requests for Admission to Plaintiff and Interrogatories to Plaintiff enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to:

Brad L. Swaner
722 Boston Building
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111


and that there is a regular communication by mail between the place of mailing and the place as addressed

Subscribed and sworn to before me this

7th day of December, 1981.

Mildred F. Franic

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
A PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678



BOOK 207 PAGE 427

1 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire
2 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
3 555 South Center Street
4 Reno, Nevada 89501
5 Telephone: (702) 323-8678
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

Plaintiff,

vs.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY

Defendant.

CV. No. 81-0183 ECR

INTERROGATORIES TO PLAINTIFF

TO: Plaintiff above-named, and to its attorney, Brad L. Swaner,
722 Boston Bldg., Salt Lake City, Utah 84111:

Defendant SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY hereby requires
the plaintiff TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, a Utah corporation, to
answer the following Interrogatories, under oath in the time and
manner provided by the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 33.

The following provisions apply to all Interrogatories:

1. In answering these Interrogatories, you are required
to furnish such information as may have been obtained by, or as
is known to or is in the possession of the named plaintiff, counsel
for said plaintiff, and all agents, servants and employees, repre-
sentatives, investigators, or anyone else acting in plaintiff's
behalf.

2. The term "document" means and includes all physical
material of any nature whatsoever, including, without limitation,
letters, memos, contracts, telegrams, notes, recordings, etc.

3. An Interrogatory calling for the identification of a

EXHIBIT 1

1 complaint, in paragraph 6, that "at all times material ... the
2 ... premises were being operated," state:

3 (a) Precisely what is meant by this allegation;

4 (b) In detail each fact presently known to you which
5 forms the basis of this allegation;

6 (c) Identify each person who has any knowledge of
7 the facts which form the basis of this allegation and
8 set forth in detail the facts that each such person
9 knows;

10 (d) Identify each document relating to, or referring
11 to, the matters contained in this allegation or in the
12 answer to this Interrogatory;

13 (e) Identify each person who supplied the informa-
14 tion contained in your answer to the Interrogatory, and
15 set forth precisely what information was supplied by
16 each such individual.

17 2. With respect to the allegation contained in your
18 complaint, in paragraph 8, that "the subject leased property has
19 continued to be operated as required in paragraph 27 of the sub-
20 ject lease," state:

21 (a) In detail each fact presently known to you which
22 forms the basis of this allegation;

23 (b) Identify each person who has any knowledge of
24 the facts which form the basis of this allegation and
25 set forth in detail the facts that each such person
26 knows;

27 (c) Identify each document relating to, or referring
28 to, the matters contained in this allegation or in the
29 answer to this Interrogatory;

30 (d) Identify each person who supplied the informa-
31 tion contained in your answer to the Interrogatory, and
32 set forth precisely what information was supplied by

NEVERETT AND
SONS, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
SOUTH CENTRAL
NEVADA 89501
PHONE 325-8879

1 each such individual.

2 3. With respect to the allegation contained in your
3 complaint, paragraph 8, that "no 'production royalty' is due to
4 defendant from plaintiff," state:

5 (a) In detail each fact presently known to you which
6 forms the basis of this allegation;

7 (b) Identify each person who has any knowledge of
8 the facts which form the basis of this allegation and
9 set forth in detail the facts that each such person
10 knows;

11 (c) Identify each document relating to, or referring
12 to, the matters contained in this allegation or in the
13 answer to this Interrogatory;

14 (d) Identify each person who supplied the informa-
15 tion contained in your answer to the Interrogatory, and
16 set forth precisely what information was supplied by
17 each such individual.

18 4. With respect to the allegation contained in your
19 complaint, paragraph 5, that "written notice from lessee of the
20 exercise of such option was given to defendant by plaintiff
21 within the time periods prescribed in said paragraph [27] of said
22 lease," state:

23 (a) In detail each fact presently known to you which
24 forms the basis of this allegation;

25 (b) Identify each person who has any knowledge of
26 the facts which form the basis of this allegation and
27 set forth in detail the facts that each such person
28 knows;

29 (c) Identify each document relating to, or referring
30 to, the matters contained in this allegation or in the
31 answer to this Interrogatory;

32 (d) Identify each person who supplied the informa-

JONIA AND
S CHARLES
PERSONAL
ACTION
VETS AND
LAW AT LAW
1000 AT LAW
1000 AT LAW
1000 AT LAW
1000 AT LAW
1000 AT LAW

1 tion contained in your answer to the Interrogatory, and
2 set forth precisely what information was supplied by
3 each such individual.

4 5. If you have denied, in whole or part, or if you have
5 admitted Request for Admission No. 1 set forth in full and complete
6 detail all facts and all contentions upon which you base your
7 denial or admission as the case may be. Also state:

8 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
9 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

10 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
11 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory

12 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
13 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
14 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
15 such individual.

16 6. If you have admitted, in whole or in part, Request
17 for Admission No. 2, set forth in full and complete detail all
18 facts and all contentions upon which you base such information.
19 Also state:

20 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
21 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your admission

22 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
23 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory

24 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
25 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
26 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
27 such individual.

28 7. Set forth in full and complete detail all facts re-
29 garding any formal or informal arrangement, agreement, contract, or
30 other relationships (legal, such as a corporate tie as between
31 parent corporation and subsidiary, or otherwise) between the
32 plaintiff and Western State Minerals Corporation with respect to

WESTERN STATE
MINERALS CORP.
CORPORATION
INCORPORATED
UNDER THE LAWS
OF THE STATE OF
UTAH
UTAH 84401
PHONE 222-6678

1 or related to the subject lease, or any interest therein, or the
2 mining or exploration rights discussed in or conveyed by the
3 subject lease.

4 8. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
5 Admission No. 3, set forth in full and complete detail all facts
6 and all contentions upon which you base your denial.

7 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
8 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

9 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
10 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory;

11 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
12 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
13 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
14 such individual.

15 9. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
16 Admission No. 4, set forth in full and complete detail all facts
17 and all contentions upon which you base your denial.

18 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
19 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

20 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
21 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory

22 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
23 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
24 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
25 such individual.

26 10. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
27 Admission No. 5, set forth (separately for each item listed in the
28 Request for Admission) in full and complete detail all facts and
29 all contentions upon which you base your denial.

30 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
31 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

32 ////

VERBIA AND
INC. CHARTERED
FEDERAL
APPROPRIATE
PROPERTY AND
EIGHTH OF LAW
JTH CENTER ST.
DENVER 89001
PHONE 333-6678

1 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
2 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory.

3 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
4 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
5 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
6 such individual.

7 11. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
8 Admission No. 6, set forth in full and complete detail all facts
9 and all contentions upon which you base your denial.

10 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
11 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

12 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
13 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory.

14 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
15 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
16 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
17 such individual.

18 12. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
19 Admission No. 7, set forth (separately for each item listed in the
20 Request for Admission) in full and complete detail all facts and
21 all contentions upon which you base your denial.

22 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
23 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

24 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
25 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory.

26 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
27 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
28 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
29 such individual.

30 13. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
31 Admission No. 8, set forth in full and complete detail all facts
32 and all contentions upon which you base your denial.

VERBIA AND
N. CHARTER
PERSONAL
PROFESSIONAL
FIDELITY AND
ALFORD AT LAW
1716 GLENN ST.
STRADE 89301
ONE 323-9978

1 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
2 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

3 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
4 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory;

5 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
6 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
7 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
8 such individual.

9 14. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
10 Admission No. 9, set forth (separately for each item listed in the
11 Request for Admission) in full and complete detail all facts and
12 all contentions upon which you base your denial.

13 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
14 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

15 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
16 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory;

17 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
18 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
19 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
20 such individual.

21 15. Regardless of the nature of your response to Request
22 for Admission No.10, set forth in full and complete detail all facts
23 and all contentions upon which you base your response. Include the
24 time periods for which no work was done and also the dates which
25 you failed to provide defendant as required by paragraph 2 of the
26 lease with a statement to this effect.

27 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
28 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

29 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
30 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory;

31 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
32 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000

1 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
2 such individual.

3 16. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
4 Admission No.11, set forth in full and complete detail (including,
5 as should have done for all Interrogatories, all relevant dates)
6 all facts and all contentions upon which you base your denial.

7 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
8 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

9 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
10 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory.

11 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
12 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
13 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
14 such individual.

15 17. If you have denied in whole or in part, Request for
16 Admission No.12, set forth in full and complete detail all facts
17 and all contentions upon which you base your denial.

18 (a) Identify each person who has any kind of know-
19 ledge of the facts which form the basis of your denial;

20 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
21 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory

22 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
23 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
24 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
25 such individual.

26 18. Other than is already set forth, in your Answers to
27 these Interrogatories, please state the name, last known address,
28 telephone number and relationship if any, to you of each person
29 known to you having knowledge of any relevant fact concerning any
30 of the allegations of your complaint, including damages. Unless
31 privileged, please furnish a general summary of the facts known or
32 believed to be known by each such person.

TERIA AND
S. CHARTER
FEDERAL
DISTRICT
COURT
ROOM 410
100 CENTER ST.
EASTON, MD 21601
AN 222-0476

1 19. Please list in detail all items of damage, including
2 the amounts.

3 (a) Identify each person who has any knowledge of the
4 facts which form the basis of your Answer to this
5 Interrogatory;

6 (b) Identify each document relating to or referring to
7 the matters contained in the answer to this Interrogatory;

8 (c) Identify each person who supplied the information
9 contained in your answer to this Interrogatory and set
10 forth precisely what information was supplied by each
11 such individual.

12 20. Please identify each person you expect to call as
13 an expert witness at trial for any issue, including valuation of
14 damages.

15 21. As to each such person named in Interrogatory No. 20
16 state the subject matter on which that person is expected to
17 testify, as well as the substance of the facts and opinions to
18 which that person is expected to testify. Please set forth a
19 summary of the grounds for each such opinion.

20 22. Please identify each person you have retained or
21 specially employed in anticipation of litigation or preparation for
22 trial who is not expected to be called as a witness at trial.

23 DATED this 7th day of December, 1981.

24
25 ALFRED H. OSBORNE, ESQ.
26 NICHOLAS F. FREY, ESQ.
27 ECHEVERRIA & OSBORNE, CHARTERED

28 By: Nicholas F. Frey
29 NICHOLAS F. FREY
30 Attorneys for the Defendant
31 555 South Center Street
32 Reno, Nevada 89501

33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65
66
67
68
69
70
71
72
73
74
75
76
77
78
79
80
81
82
83
84
85
86
87
88
89
90
91
92
93
94
95
96
97
98
99
100
101
102
103
104
105
106
107
108
109
110
111
112
113
114
115
116
117
118
119
120
121
122
123
124
125
126
127
128
129
130
131
132
133
134
135
136
137
138
139
140
141
142
143
144
145
146
147
148
149
150
151
152
153
154
155
156
157
158
159
160
161
162
163
164
165
166
167
168
169
170
171
172
173
174
175
176
177
178
179
180
181
182
183
184
185
186
187
188
189
190
191
192
193
194
195
196
197
198
199
200
201
202
203
204
205
206
207
208
209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224
225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235
236
237
238
239
240
241
242
243
244
245
246
247
248
249
250
251
252
253
254
255
256
257
258
259
260
261
262
263
264
265
266
267
268
269
270
271
272
273
274
275
276
277
278
279
280
281
282
283
284
285
286
287
288
289
290
291
292
293
294
295
296
297
298
299
300
301
302
303
304
305
306
307
308
309
310
311
312
313
314
315
316
317
318
319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337
338
339
340
341
342
343
344
345
346
347
348
349
350
351
352
353
354
355
356
357
358
359
360
361
362
363
364
365
366
367
368
369
370
371
372
373
374
375
376
377
378
379
380
381
382
383
384
385
386
387
388
389
390
391
392
393
394
395
396
397
398
399
400
401
402
403
404
405
406
407
408
409
410
411
412
413
414
415
416
417
418
419
420
421
422
423
424
425
426
427
428
429
430
431
432
433
434
435
436
437
438
439
440
441
442
443
444
445
446
447
448
449
450
451
452
453
454
455
456
457
458
459
460
461
462
463
464
465
466
467
468
469
470
471
472
473
474
475
476
477
478
479
480
481
482
483
484
485
486
487
488
489
490
491
492
493
494
495
496
497
498
499
500
501
502
503
504
505
506
507
508
509
510
511
512
513
514
515
516
517
518
519
520
521
522
523
524
525
526
527
528
529
530
531
532
533
534
535
536
537
538
539
540
541
542
543
544
545
546
547
548
549
550
551
552
553
554
555
556
557
558
559
560
561
562
563
564
565
566
567
568
569
570
571
572
573
574
575
576
577
578
579
580
581
582
583
584
585
586
587
588
589
590
591
592
593
594
595
596
597
598
599
600
601
602
603
604
605
606
607
608
609
610
611
612
613
614
615
616
617
618
619
620
621
622
623
624
625
626
627
628
629
630
631
632
633
634
635
636
637
638
639
640
641
642
643
644
645
646
647
648
649
650
651
652
653
654
655
656
657
658
659
660
661
662
663
664
665
666
667
668
669
670
671
672
673
674
675
676
677
678
679
680
681
682
683
684
685
686
687
688
689
690
691
692
693
694
695
696
697
698
699
700
701
702
703
704
705
706
707
708
709
710
711
712
713
714
715
716
717
718
719
720
721
722
723
724
725
726
727
728
729
730
731
732
733
734
735
736
737
738
739
740
741
742
743
744
745
746
747
748
749
750
751
752
753
754
755
756
757
758
759
760
761
762
763
764
765
766
767
768
769
770
771
772
773
774
775
776
777
778
779
780
781
782
783
784
785
786
787
788
789
790
791
792
793
794
795
796
797
798
799
800
801
802
803
804
805
806
807
808
809
810
811
812
813
814
815
816
817
818
819
820
821
822
823
824
825
826
827
828
829
830
831
832
833
834
835
836
837
838
839
840
841
842
843
844
845
846
847
848
849
850
851
852
853
854
855
856
857
858
859
860
861
862
863
864
865
866
867
868
869
870
871
872
873
874
875
876
877
878
879
880
881
882
883
884
885
886
887
888
889
890
891
892
893
894
895
896
897
898
899
900
901
902
903
904
905
906
907
908
909
910
911
912
913
914
915
916
917
918
919
920
921
922
923
924
925
926
927
928
929
930
931
932
933
934
935
936
937
938
939
940
941
942
943
944
945
946
947
948
949
950
951
952
953
954
955
956
957
958
959
960
961
962
963
964
965
966
967
968
969
970
971
972
973
974
975
976
977
978
979
980
981
982
983
984
985
986
987
988
989
990
991
992
993
994
995
996
997
998
999
1000
1001
1002
1003
1004
1005
1006
1007
1008
1009
1010
1011
1012
1013
1014
1015
1016
1017
1018
1019
1020
1021
1022
1023
1024
1025
1026
1027
1028
1029
1030
1031
1032
1033
1034
1035
1036
1037
1038
1039
1040
1041
1042
1043
1044
1045
1046
1047
1048
1049
1050
1051
1052
1053
1054
1055
1056
1057
1058
1059
1060
1061
1062
1063
1064
1065
1066
1067
1068
1069
1070
1071
1072
1073
1074
1075
1076
1077
1078
1079
1080
1081
1082
1083
1084
1085
1086
1087
1088
1089
1090
1091
1092
1093
1094
1095
1096
1097
1098
1099
1100
1101
1102
1103
1104
1105
1106
1107
1108
1109
1110
1111
1112
1113
1114
1115
1116
1117
1118
1119
1120
1121
1122
1123
1124
1125
1126
1127
1128
1129
1130
1131
1132
1133
1134
1135
1136
1137
1138
1139
1140
1141
1142
1143
1144
1145
1146
1147
1148
1149
1150
1151
1152
1153
1154
1155
1156
1157
1158
1159
1160
1161
1162
1163
1164
1165
1166
1167
1168
1169
1170
1171
1172
1173
1174
1175
1176
1177
1178
1179
1180
1181
1182
1183
1184
1185
1186
1187
1188
1189
1190
1191
1192
1193
1194
1195
1196
1197
1198
1199
1200
1201
1202
1203
1204
1205
1206
1207
1208
1209
1210
1211
1212
1213
1214
1215
1216
1217
1218
1219
1220
1221
1222
1223
1224
1225
1226
1227
1228
1229
1230
1231
1232
1233
1234
1235
1236
1237
1238
1239
1240
1241
1242
1243
1244
1245
1246
1247
1248
1249
1250
1251
1252
1253
1254
1255
1256
1257
1258
1259
1260
1261
1262
1263
1264
1265
1266
1267
1268
1269
1270
1271
1272
1273
1274
1275
1276
1277
1278
1279
1280
1281
1282
1283
1284
1285
1286
1287
1288
1289
1290
1291
1292
1293
1294
1295
1296
1297
1298
1299
1300
1301
1302
1303
1304
1305
1306
1307
1308
1309
1310
1311
1312
1313
1314
1315
1316
1317
1318
1319
1320
1321
1322
1323
1324
1325
1326
1327
1328
1329
1330
1331
1332
1333
1334
1335
1336
1337
1338
1339
1340
1341
1342
1343
1344
1345
1346
1347
1348
1349
1350
1351
1352
1353
1354
1355
1356
1357
1358
1359
1360
1361
1362
1363
1364
1365
1366
1367
1368
1369
1370
1371
1372
1373
1374
1375
1376
1377
1378
1379
1380
1381
1382
1383
1384
1385
1386
1387
1388
1389
1390
1391
1392
1393
1394
1395
1396
1397
1398
1399
1400
1401
1402
1403
1404
1405
1406
1407
1408
1409
1410
1411
1412
1413
1414
1415
1416
1417
1418
1419
1420
1421
1422
1423
1424
1425
1426
1427
1428
1429
1430
1431
1432
1433
1434
1435
1436
1437
1438
1439
1440
1441
1442
1443
1444
1445
1446
1447
1448
1449
1450
1451
1452
1453
1454
1455
1456
1457
1458
1459
1460
1461
1462
1463
1464
1465
1466
1467
1468
1469
1470
1471
1472
1473
1474
1475
1476
1477
1478
1479
1480
1481
1482
1483
1484
1485
1486
1487
1488
1489
1490
1491
1492
1493
1494
1495
1496
1497
1498
1499
1500
1501
1502
1503
1504
1505
1506
1507
1508
1509
1510
1511
1512
1513
1514
1515
1516
1517
1518
1519
1520
1521
1522
1523
1524
1525
1526
1527
1528
1529
1530
1531
1532
1533
1534
1535
1536
1537
1538
1539
1540
1541
1542
1543
1544
1545
1546
1547
1548
1549
1550
1551
1552
1553
1554
1555
1556
1557
1558
1559
1560
1561
1562
1563
1564
1565
1566
1567
1568
1569
1570
1571
1572
1573
1574
1575
1576
1577
1578
1579
1580
1581
1582
1583
1584
1585
1586
1587
1588
1589
1590
1591
1592
1593
1594
1595
1596
1597
1598
1599
1600
1601
1602
1603
1604
1605
1606
1607
1608
1609
1610
1611
1612
1613
1614
1615
1616
1617
1618
1619
1620
1621
1622
1623
1624
1625
1626
1627
1628
1629
1630
1631
1632
1633
1634
1635
1636
1637
1638
1639
1640
1641
1642
1643
1644
1645
1646
1647
1648
1649
1650
1651
1652
1653
1654
1655
1656
1657
1658
1659
1660
1661
1662
1663
1664
1665
1666
1667
1668
1669
1670
1671
1672
1673
1674
1675
1676
1677
1678
1679
1680
1681
1682
1683
1684
1685
1686
1687
1688
1689
1690
1691
1692
1693
1694
1695
1696
1697
1698
1699
1700
1701
1702
1703
1704
1705
1706
1707
1708
1709
1710
1711
1712
1713
1714
1715
1716
1717
1718
1719
1720
1721
1722
1723
1724
1725
1726
1727
1728
1729
1730
1731
1732
1733
1734
1735
1736
1737
1738
1739
1740
1741
1742
1743
1744
1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794
1795
1796
1797
1798
1799
1800
1801
1802
1803
1804
1805
1806
1807
1808
1809
1810
1811
1812
1813
1814
1815
1816
1817
1818
1819
1820
1821
1822
1823
1824
1825
1826
1827
1828
1829
1830
1831
1832
1833
1834
1835
1836
1837
1838
1839
1840
1841
1842
1843
1844
1845
1846
1847
1848
1849
1850
1851
1852
1853
1854
1855
1856
1857
1858
1859
1860
1861
1862
1863
1864
1865
1866
1867
1868
1869
1870
1871
1872
1873
1874
1875
1876
1877
1878
1879
1880
1881
1882
1883
1884
1885
1886
1887
1888
1889
1890
1891
1892
1893
1894
1895
1896
1897
1898
1899
1900
1901
1902
1903
1904
1905
1906
1907
1908
1909
1910
1911
1912
1913
1914
1915
1916
1917
1918
1919
1920
1921
1922
1923
1924
1925
1926
1927
1928
1929
1930
1931
1932
1933
1934
1935
1936
1937
1938
1939
1940
1941
1942
1943
1944
1945
1946
1947
1948
1949
1950
1951
1952
1953
1954
1955
1956
1957
1958
1959
1960
1961
1962
1963
1964
1965
1966
1967
1968
1969
1970
1971
1972
1973
1974
1975
1976
1977
1978
1979
1980
1981
1982
1983
1984
1985
1986
1987
1988
1989
1990
1991
1992
1993
1994
1995
1996
1997
1998
1999
2000
2001
2002
2003
2004
2005
2006
2007
2008
2009
2010
2011
2012
2013
2014
2015
2016
2017
2018
2019
2020
2021
2022
2023
2024
2025
2026
2027
2028
2029
2030
2031
2032
2033
2034
2035
2036
2037
2038
2039
2040
2041
2042
2043
2044
2045
2046
2047
2048
2049
2050
2051
2052
2053
2054
2055
2056
2057
2058
2059
2060
2061
2062
2063
2064
2065
2066
2067
2068
2069
2070
2071
2072
2073
2074
2075
2076
2077
2078
2079
2080
2081
2082
2083
2084
2085
2086
2087
2088
2089
2090
2091
2092
2093
2094
2095
2096
2097
2098
2099
2100
2101
2102
2103
2104
2105
2106
2107
2108
2109
2110
2111
2112
2113
2114
2115
2116
2117
2118
2119
2120
2121
2122
2123
2124
2125
2126
2127
2128
2129
2130
2131
2132
2133
2134
2135
2136
2137
2138
2139

1 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire
2 ECHEVERRIA & OSBORNE, CHARTERED
3 555 South Center Street
4 Reno, Nevada 89501
5 Telephone: (702) 323-8678
6
7 Attorneys for Defendant
8

9 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

11 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,
12 Plaintiff,

13 vs.

14 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,
15 Defendant. /

Cv. No. 81-0183 ECR
AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

16 STATE OF NEVADA)
17) ss.
18 COUNTY OF WASHOE)

19 I, Terrie Jacqueline Teske being first duly sworn, depo-
20 ses and says: That affiant is, and was when the herein described
21 mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years
22 of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action;
23 that on the 7th day of December, 1981, affiant deposited with the
24 United States Post Office at Reno, Nevada, a copy of Requests for
25 Admission to Plaintiff and Interrogatories to Plaintiff enclosed
26 in a sealed envelope upon which first class postage was fully
27 prepaid, addressed to:

28 Brad L. Swaner
29 722 Boston Building
30 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111


31 and that there is a regular communication by mail between the
32 place of mailing and the place as addressed

33 Subscribed and sworn to before me this

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678

7th day of December, 1981.

Mildred F. Franic



1 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
2 Alfred H. Osborne, Esq.
3 555 South Center Street
4 Reno, Nevada 89501
5 Telephone: (702) 323-8678

6 Attorneys for Defendant

FILED

JAN 8 1982

CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

BY

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 ***
11 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

12 Plaintiff,

CV. No. 81-0183 ECR

13 vs.

14 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

15 Defendant.

16
17 TO: Plaintiff above-named and its attorney of record, BRAD
18 L. SWANER, 722 Boston Building, Salt Lake City, Utah
84111:

19 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the
20 deposition of ROBERT L. PATRIE, President of Temple Mountain
21 Industries, Inc., 505 Newhouse Building, Salt Lake City, Utah, will
22 be taken by oral examination by and on behalf of the Defendant,
23 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY, for the purpose of discovery and
24 for use as evidence and all other lawful purposes in the above
25 entitled action, before a Notary Public and Court Reporter of
26 the State of Nevada, pursuant to all of the applicable provisions
27 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure pertaining to the taking
28 and use of depositions, on the 15th day of January, 1982, at the
29 hour of 2:00 p.m., in the offices of Echeverria and Osborne,
30 Chartered, located at 555 South Center Street, Reno, Nevada, and

31 ///

32 ///

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
NOTARY PUBLIC
AND COURT REPORTER
555 SOUTH CENTER STREET
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678

initialed

BOOK 207 PAGE 439

from day to day thereafter until completed.

DATED this 17 day of January, 1982.

ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
Alfred H. Osborne, Esq.
Nicholas F. Frey, Esq.
Attorneys for Defendant

BY: Nicholas F. Frey

NICHOLAS F. FREY
555 South Center Street
Reno, Nevada 89501

Witness my hand and seal as
a Notary Public for the State of
Nevada, this 17th day of January,
1982, at Reno, Nevada.

DATED: 17 day of January, 1982.

Signed

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
- PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
- ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-6679

1 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
2 Alfred H. Osborne, Esq.
3 555 South Center Street
4 Reno, Nevada 89501
5 Telephone: (702) 323-8678

6 Attorneys for Defendant

FILED

CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

11 Plaintiff,

12 vs.

Cv. No. 81-0183 ECR

13 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

14 Defendant.

NOTICE OF DEPOSITION

15
16 TO: Plaintiff above-named and its attorney of record, BRAD L.
17 SWANER, ESQ., 722 Boston Building, Salt Lake City, Utah
84111;

18 YOU, AND EACH OF YOU, WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE, that the
19 deposition of:

20 1. Your partners, employees, agents or representatives
21 who will testify at trial in the above-entitled action in the
22 District of Nevada.

23 2. Any person or persons designated by you who consent
24 and has knowledge of the facts forming the basis for the allega-
25 tions of the Complaint.

26 will be taken by oral examination by and on behalf of the Defen-
27 dant, SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY, for the purpose of discovery
28 and for use as evidence and all other lawful purposes in the
29 above-entitled action before a Notary Public of the State of
30 Nevada, pursuant to all of the applicable provisions of the
31 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rule 30 (b) (6). Said depositions
32 will be conducted at the law firm of Echeverria and Osborne,

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
A PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
TELEPHONE 323-8678

Schickel
sl

BOOK 207 PAGE 441

1 Chartered, 555 South Center Street, Reno, Nevada 89501, and will
2 commence on January 15, 1982 at 2:30 p.m., and will continue
3 from day to day thereafter until completed.

4 DATED this _____ day of January, 1982.

5 ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE, CHARTERED
6 Alfred H. Osborne, Esq.
7 Nicholas F. Frey, Esq.

8
9 BY: Nicholas F. Frey

10 NICHOLAS F. FREY
11 555 South Center Street
12 Reno, Nevada 89501
13 Attorneys for Defendant
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32

Pursuant to E.R.C.P. 17 I certify that I am an
employee of ECHEVERRIA AND OSBORNE,
CHARTERED, and that on this date I deposited
for and in accordance with the provisions of the
Nevada Rules of Professional Conduct a copy of the
within and enclosed hereto:

DATED: _____
DEPOSED

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
ATTORNEYS AND
COUNSELORS AT LAW
555 SOUTH CENTER ST.
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 320-6579

-2-

BOOK 207 PAGE 442

1 ECHEVERRIA & OSBORNE, CHARTERED
2 Alfred H. Osborne, Esquire
3 Nicholas F. Frey, Esquire
4 555 South Center Street
5 Reno, Nevada 89501
6 Telephone: (702) 323-8678

7 Attorneys for Defendant

8 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
9 FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA

10
11 TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES,

12 Plaintiff,

13 SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

14 Defendant. /

AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING

15 STATE OF NEVADA)
16) SS.
17 COUNTY OF WASHOE)

18 I, Terrie Jacqueline Teske being first duly sworn, depo-
19 ses and says: That affiant is, and was when the herein described
20 mailing took place, a citizen of the United States, over 21 years
21 of age, and not a party to, nor interested in, the within action;
22 that on the 19th day of January, 1982, affiant deposited with the
23 United States Post Office at Reno, Nevada, a copy of Motion for
24 Summary Judgment and Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of
25 Law and Judgment enclosed in a sealed envelope upon which first
26 class postage was fully prepaid, addressed to:


27 Brad L. Swaner
28 722 Boston Building
29 Salt Lake City, Utah 84111

30 and that there is regular communication by mail between the place
31 of mailing and the place as addressed.

32 Subscribed and sworn to before me this 11

19th day of January, 1982.

ECHEVERRIA AND
OSBORNE, CHARTERED
PROFESSIONAL
CORPORATION
555 SOUTH CENTER STREET
RENO, NEVADA 89501
PHONE 323-8678



BOOK 207 PAGE 443

EXHIBIT B

ENTERED

FILED

JUN 29 1982
CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
CY. [Signature] DEPUTY

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, INC.,)
Plaintiff,)
vs.)
SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,)
Defendant.)

CV.-R-81-183-ECR

MAGISTRATE'S REPORT
AND RECOMMENDATION

This Report and Recommendation is made to the Honorable Edward C. Reed, Jr., United States District Court Judge. The matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(B), and this Report and Recommendation is made on the basis of the pleadings and motions filed herein. No hearing was requested and none was had.

MATTERS CONSIDERED

This action was brought by the corporate lessee (hereinafter referred to as "Temple Mountain") to contest the termination of a written lease agreement for land located in Eureka County, Nevada with option to renew provisions with Southern Pacific Land Company (hereinafter referred to as "Southern Pacific").

BOOK 207 PAGE 444

42

1 The action was first filed on February 18, 1981
2 in the Central Division, District Court of Utah, then trans-
3 ferred to the District of Nevada, Southern Division, then to
4 District of Nevada, Northern Division, by order dated August 6,
5 1981. Defendant's answer was filed August 20, 1981.

6 By Order of this Court filed on August 21, 1981, dis-
7 covery was to be completed on or before January 18, 1982. All
8 of the motions to be considered arise directly or indirectly
9 from defendant Southern Pacific's attempts to conduct dis-
10 covery.

11 On December 7, 1981, Southern Pacific served by
12 mailing to Temple Mountain's attorney, written interrogatories
13 and requests for admissions. On January 8, 1982, Southern
14 Pacific filed a notice to take the depositions of (1) "partners,
15 employees, agents or representatives" to testify at trial and
16 (2) "any person or persons designated by [Plaintiff] who consents
17 and has knowledge of facts forming basis for the allegations
18 of the Complaint," to be taken in Reno at 2:30 p.m. January 15,
19 1982, in the office of defendant's counsel. On the same date,
20 Southern Pacific filed a second notice to take the deposition
21 of Robert L. Patrie, President of Temple Mountain, in Reno,
22 Nevada at 2:00 p.m., January 15, 1982.

23 The motions, replies, and other pleadings under con-
24 sideration are divided for clarity, as they related to (A)
25 Southern Pacific's motion to dismiss; (B) Southern Pacific's
26 motion for summary judgment and (C) the Whites' motions to
27 intervene. For the Court's convenience, the matters are numbered
28 according to the Clerk's docket sheet.

1 A. Matters Relating To Southern Pacific's
2 Motions To Dismiss

3 1. On January 14, 1982, Southern Pacific filed
4 motion to dismiss or, in the alternative, a motion for other
5 sanctions for failure of Temple Mountain to comply with dis-
6 covery, in particular, a failure to serve answers to the interro-
7 gatories. The motion requested dismissal or other sanctions,
8 and that Southern Pacific be awarded its costs, including
9 reasonable attorney's fees. (Docket #25)

10 2. On February 11, 1982, Southern Pacific filed what
11 was entitled an ex parte motion, based upon the failure of plain-
12 tiff to file opposing points and authorities, for an order
13 granting defendant's previous motion to dismiss. In this motion,
14 Southern Pacific alleged that (1) Temple Mountain's response
15 to its prior motion was due by February 1, 1982; (2) that
16 Southern Pacific had received no answer or response, nor had
17 any extension of time to file been requested or granted, nor
18 had the court relieved Temple Mountain of its duty to respond.
19 The motion requested that its motion to dismiss be granted and
20 that the court order payment of reasonable expenses, including
21 attorney's fees. (Docket #31)

22 3. On March 2, 1982, Temple Mountain filed a motion
23 with affidavit of plaintiff's counsel, to strike Southern
24 Pacific's two above stated motions. The grounds for this
25 request were: (1) That counsel for plaintiff and defendant had
26 agreed on January 14, 1982, that the answers to Southern
27 Pacific's interrogatories would be supplied to defendant at
28 the time of the deposition of Temple Mountain's president.

1 (2) That said deposition had not been taken; and (3) that a
2 statement had not been filed by Southern Pacific certifying
3 the inability of its counsel to satisfactorily resolve the
4 matter of answers to interrogatories, pursuant to Rule 17.4(b),
5 Rules of Practice, United States District Court for the District
6 of Nevada. (Docket #35)

7 4. On March 8, 1982, Southern Pacific filed its
8 memorandum in opposition to Temple Mountain's motion to
9 strike, with the attached affidavit of one of its attorneys,
10 Nicholas F. Frey. The memorandum and the affidavit stated:

11 (1) There was no agreement that Temple Mountain need not supply
12 answers to the interrogatories before the time of the deposition
13 of its president; (2) that Temple Mountain's declaration to
14 that effect was untrue; (3) that Temple Mountain's objections
15 were untimely raised; (4) that even if the parties had entered
16 into some agreement respecting an extension of time to answer
17 the interrogatories, that agreement would be without effect
18 because Local Rule 7(a) requires such stipulations to be
19 written and signed; (5) that the court has independent grounds
20 to dismiss the action because Temple Mountain's agents failed
21 to appear for noticed depositions and obtained no court order
22 excusing failure to appear; (6) that even if Southern Pacific
23 had failed to strictly comply with Local Rule 17.4(b), there
24 were grounds to strike the motion to dismiss because Temple
25 Mountain waived that argument when it failed to oppose the
26 motion; (7) that Local Rule 17.4(b) is not applicable to
27 Southern Pacific's motion to dismiss and defendant's ex parte
28 motion; and that even if it were applicable, sufficient facts

1 are shown in the affidavits attached to various pleadings.
2 requirements of Local Rule 17.4(b). (Docket #36)

3 B. Matters Relating To Southern Pacific's
4 Motion For Summary Judgment

5 1. On January 15, 1982, Temple Mountain filed a
6 motion for a protective order providing that (1) the depositions
7 noticed by Southern Pacific to be taken on January 15, 1982 in
8 Reno, Nevada, not be had or, (2) depositions should be had only
9 after reasonable notice and after certain of the deponents were
10 properly described. Plaintiff's grounds were: (1) That the
11 notices were not received until January 11, 1982 in Salt Lake
12 City, Utah. (2) That one of the notices did not give plain-
13 tiff's attorney adequate notice of the persons whom defendant
14 wished to depose; and (3) that the notices allowed inadequate
15 and unreasonable time for plaintiff to gather witnesses and
16 appear in Reno, Nevada for the depositions. (Docket #26 and
17 #27, being two copies of the same motion)

18 2. On January 19, 1982, Southern Pacific moved,
19 pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 56, for summary
20 judgment on the grounds: (1) That Temple Mountain had not,
21 as of that date, responded to Southern Pacific's interrogatories
22 or requests for admissions and (2) that Temple Mountain had
23 failed to appear for the noticed depositions and had obtained
24 no order prior to the date and time set, or at all, for those
25 depositions excusing the absence of the noticed individuals.
26 Southern Pacific argued that pursuant to Federal Rules of
27 Civil Procedure 36, Temple Mountain had admitted the matters
28 set forth in the request for admissions and that independent

1 grounds existed for the court to award summary judgment.

2 (Docket #28)

3 3. On February 2, 1982, Southern Pacific filed its
4 memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to Temple
5 Mountain's motion for a protective order, to which was attached
6 a copy of the reporter's affidavit stating that the noticed
7 individuals had not appeared for depositions. (Docket #29)

8 4. On February 10, 1982, Temple Mountain filed its
9 memorandum of points and authorities in opposition to Southern
10 Pacific's motion for summary judgment, arguing: (1) That
11 Southern Pacific's motion for summary judgment based upon the
12 request for admissions would have as its basis a breach of the
13 contract; (2) that Southern Pacific had not raised breach of
14 contract in its answer to the complaint; and (3) that Southern
15 Pacific had failed to show that such complained of breaches
16 were material, all of which facts would fall short of the
17 requirement necessary under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 56
18 for the granting of summary judgment. (Docket #30)

19 5. On February 17, 1982, Southern Pacific filed its
20 reply to Temple Mountain's memorandum above described.
21 (Docket #32)

22 C. Matters Relating To The Motions To Intervene

23 1. On February 19, 1982, the court received a letter
24 from David A. White, as trustee of the Arthur E. White Trust,
25 requesting the court to await the filing of court papers and
26 documents relating to the interest of his uncle, Arthur E.
27 White, and his trust, (the applicants) "as holder of royalty
28 agreement on the lease." (Docket #33)

1 2. On March 1, 1982, the applicants' filed a motion
2 to intervene, stating: (1) That their "royalty agreement"
3 with Temple Mountain to Arthur White would be rendered worth-
4 less if the lease were not renewed. (2) That the applicants had
5 only recently learned of the lawsuit. (3) That Southern Pacific
6 would not be prejudiced by the intervention, and (4) that they
7 could not adequately protect their interests unless counsel was
8 retained and discovery reopened and completed within ninety
9 days. The applicants addressed the issue of summary judgment
10 and requested that Southern Pacific's motion for summary judg-
11 ment be denied. (Docket #34)

12 3. On March 9, 1982, Southern Pacific filed its
13 reply to the applicants' memorandum opposing the intervention,
14 arguing the merits raised in the memorandum in relation to its
15 motion for summary judgment and requesting its fees and costs
16 expended in preparation of the reply. (Docket #37)

17 4. On March 10, 1982, the applicants filed their
18 motion to intervene as plaintiff, stating: (1) That the
19 applicants claim an interest relating to the leased property;
20 and (2) that they are so situated that disposition of the
21 action may, as a practical matter, impair or impede their
22 ability to protect that interest. A proposed complaint was
23 attached. (Docket #38)

24 5. On March 12, 1982, Southern Pacific filed a
25 memorandum in opposition to the motion to intervene. The
26 memorandum also addressed the motion of March 10, 1982, oppos-
27 ing that also. Southern Pacific argued: (1) That the
28 applicants' request was tardy, coming after the expiration of

BOOK 207 PAGE 450

1 the period for discovery; and (2) that it failed to satisfy the
2 criteria necessary for relief under Federal Rules of Civil
3 Procedure 24. (Docket #39)

4 6. On March 29, 1982, Southern Pacific filed its
5 memorandum in opposition to the motion to intervene of March 9,
6 1982, stating: (1) That the applicants were not parties to
7 the lease itself but their rights were dependent upon rights
8 under the lease held by Temple Mountain, and (2) discussing
9 Southern Pacific's claims that the applicants do not have
10 sufficient interest to qualify under Federal Rules of Civil
11 Procedure 24. (Docket #40)

12 DISCUSSION

13 Discussion will be approached according to the
14 classification set forth in the matters to be considered,
15 although there will, of necessity, be overlapping of some details
16 relating to the issues.

17 A. Motions Relating To Dismissal Issue

18 As noted above, Southern Pacific filed a motion to
19 dismiss for failure to comply with discovery on January 14, 1982
20 and followed that with an ex parte motion on February 11, 1982,
21 based on Temple Mountain's failure to respond to the prior
22 motion with points and authorities, as required by Local Rule
23 16(c).

24 Temple Mountain has never filed a response to
25 defendant's motion to dismiss. However, apparently, by way
26 of opposition, it filed a motion on March 2, 1982, to strike the
27 above two motions based upon an alleged agreement between the
28 counsel of the parties that provided that the answers to the

1 interrogatories and requests for admissions would be supplied
2 to Southern Pacific at the time of the deposition of Temple
3 Mountain's president, which deposition had not taken place.
4 A further ground was asserted that Southern Pacific had not
5 filed the certification required by Local Rule 17.4(b). The
6 claimed agreement of counsel was supported by the affidavit
7 of Brad Swaner, attorney for Temple Mountain, and stated the
8 agreement was made by telephone on January 14, 1982, with
9 Nicholas F. Frey, one of Southern Pacific's attorneys. Southern
10 Pacific filed its opposition on March 8, 1982, attaching the
11 affidavit of Nicholas F. Frey, which clearly denied that any
12 such agreement or understanding had been made.

13 From a review of the file, the following facts appear
14 uncontested:

15 1. Southern Pacific properly served written
16 interrogatories and requests for admissions on Temple Mountain's
17 attorney by mailing same to him on December 7, 1981.

18 2. The responses to the interrogatories and requests
19 for admissions were due, pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil
20 Procedure 6(e), 33 and 36, on or about January 11, 1982.

21 3. The file contains no order relieving Temple
22 Mountain of the duty of responding to the interrogatories or
23 requests for admissions or extending the time for responding,
24 nor any motion or request for such order.

25 4. There is no indication in the court's file, as
26 of this date, that Temple Mountain has answered the interro-
27 gatories served upon it, has tendered such answers, or has
28 filed with the court a motion pursuant to Federal Rules of

1 Civil Procedure 6(b)(2) to show that the failure to act was
2 the result of excusable neglect.

3 Whatever may be said of the claimed agreement, pur-
4 portedly reached January 14, 1982 by the parties' counsel to
5 allow Temple Mountain to later answer, such avails Temple
6 Mountain nothing.

7 First, according to its own affidavit, such agree-
8 ment would not have been made until January 14, 1982, which was
9 after the responses should have been made. Under Federal Rules
10 of Civil Procedure 29, stipulations extending the time provided
11 in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 31 and 36, requires approval
12 of the court. Under Local Rule 8, any time prescribed for
13 doing of any act specified in either those rules or in the
14 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure may be enlarged by the court by
15 order made before the expiration of the time. The court may
16 only permit the act to be done after expiration of time pursuant
17 to motion where the failure to act was the result of excusable
18 neglect. Plaintiff did not file a motion for late response
19 at all.

20 Secondly, Local Rule 7 requires that stipulations of
21 counsel relating to the business of the court, except such as
22 are made in open court, be made in writing and signed. An
23 oral extrajudicial stipulation could not act so as to extend
24 the court ordered close-of-discovery on January 18, 1982.

25 Neither do Temple Mountain's claims based on local
26 Rule 17(a)(4)(b) justify its position. That Rule provides:

27 (a) All motions to compel discovery shall,
28 in addition to the discovery being sought
in the motion, set forth in full the text
of the discovery originally sought and the

BOOK 207 PAGE 453

1 response made thereto, if any.

2 (b) Discovery motions will not be heard
3 unless a statement or moving counsel is
4 attached thereto, certifying that after
5 personal consultation and sincere effort to
6 do so counsel have been unable to satisfactorily
7 resolve the matter.

8 The court reads this Local Rule as applying to a
9 situation in which there has been some attempt to comply with
10 discovery, not as a "Catch 22" provision whereby a party may
11 escape the consequences of its total failure to make discovery.
12 This difference is similar to that between Federal Rules of
13 Civil Procedure 37(a) and (d).

14 Additionally, Local Rule 16(c) requires that a
15 responsive memorandum opposing a motion shall be filed in
16 fifteen days after service of the moving party's points and
17 authorities. Failure of an opposing party to file a memorandum
18 of points and authorities in opposition to any motion shall
19 constitute a consent to the granting of the motion, under Local
20 Rule 16(e). Therefore, Temple Mountain lost its ability to
21 protest Southern Pacific's lack of Local Rule 17(b) certifica-
22 tion, if such a certification were required.

23 Temple Mountain apparently sought to circumvent its
24 failure to file an opposing memorandum within the fifteen day
25 time limit, or to request an extension of time to file, with a
26 March 2, 1982, document entitled a motion to strike Southern
27 Pacific's motions to dismiss or for sanctions. A motion to
28 strike is permitted by Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 12(f)
to remove from any pleading "any insufficient defense or any
redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter."

1 Temple Mountain does not attempt to show how its motion comes
2 within the purview of this rule and the document clearly is a
3 belated memorandum opposing Southern Pacific's motions - filed
4 forty-two days after Southern Pacific's original motion and
5 nineteen days after the ex parte motion to dismiss.

6 Under these facts and circumstances, it appears that
7 the Court must find that Temple Mountain has failed to make or
8 to cooperate in discovery and that its failure was unjustified.

9 What action the Court may take is set forth in
10 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 37(d):

11 If a party or an officer, director, or
12 managing agent of a party or a person
13 designated under Rule 30(b)(6) or 31(a)
14 to testify on behalf of a party fails (1)
15 to appear before the officer who is to take
16 his deposition, after being served with proper
17 notice, or (2) to serve answers or objections
18 to interrogatories submitted under Rule 33,
19 after proper service of the interrogatories,
20 or (3) to serve a written response to a
21 request for inspection submitted under Rule
22 34, after proper service of the request, the
23 court in which the action is pending on motion
24 may make such orders in regard to the failure
25 as are just, and among others, it may take any
26 action authorized under paragraphs (A), (B),
27 and (C) of subdivision (b)(2) of this rule.
28 In lieu of any order or in addition thereto,
the court shall require the party failing to
act or the attorney advising him or both to
pay the reasonable expenses, including attorney's
fees, caused by the failure, unless the court
finds that the failure was substantially
justified or that other circumstances make an
aware of expenses unjust.

The failure to act described in this subdivision
may not be excused on the ground that the discovery
sought is objectionable unless the party failing
to act has applied for a protective order as pro-
vided by Rule 26(c).

The action authorized under Rule 37 (b)(2), para-
graphs (A), (B), and (C) includes the court's issuing:

1 (a) An order that the matters regarding
2 which the order was made or any other design-
3 ated facts shall be taken to be established
4 for the purposes of the action in accordance
5 with the claim of the party obtaining the
6 order;

7 (b) An order refusing to allow the disobedient
8 party to support or oppose designated claims
9 or defenses, or prohibiting him from intro-
10 ducing designated matters in evidence;

11 (c) An order striking out pleadings or parts
12 thereof, or staying further proceedings until
13 the order is obeyed, or dismissing the action
14 or proceeding or any part thereof, or render-
15 ing a judgment by default against the disobedient
16 party.

17 Southern Pacific's motion to dismiss was based only
18 on Temple Mountain's failure to answer interrogatories and
19 asked the complaint to be dismissed or, in the alternative,
20 that other sanctions be imposed on Temple Mountain, and that
21 Southern Pacific be awarded its costs, including reasonable
22 attorney's fees. However, in determining which sanctions
23 would be effective and just in this situation, other circum-
24 stances as shown in the pleadings and papers on file herein
25 should be taken into consideration.

26 A review of other motions and pleadings shows that
27 the following is uncontested.

28 1. On January 8, 1982, Southern Pacific filed two
29 notices to take depositions of the president of Temple
30 Mountain, Robert L. Patrie, and of unnamed agents and/or
31 prospective witnesses of Temple Mountain with the requirements
32 of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 31(a) on January 15, 1982
33 in Reno, Nevada. Temple Mountain states it received service
34 by mail of these notices on January 11, 1982.

1 2. On January 14, 1982, Temple Mountain made several
2 telephone calls to Southern Pacific's attorney and did not
3 obtain an extension of time to take depositions.

4 3. On January 15, 1982, Temple Mountain filed a
5 motion for a protective order, but it did not file a motion
6 shortening time or for an expedited decision of the court.

7 4. On January 15, 1982, neither Patrie, nor any
8 agent or attorney for Temple Mountain appeared at the time
9 and place set for the depositions.

10 The Ninth Circuit does not allow a party to satisfy
11 its burden to respond to discovery by merely filing a motion
12 for a protective order. In Pioche Mines v. Dolman, 333 F.2d
13 257, (9th Cir., 1964) the court stated:

14 Rule 30(b) places the burden on the proposed
15 deponent to get an order, not just to make a
16 motion. And if there is not time to have
17 his motion heard, the least that he can be
18 expected to do is to get an order postponing
19 the time of the deposition until his motion
20 can be heard.... But unless he has obtained
21 a court order that postpones or dispenses with
22 his duty to appear, that duty remains.

23 Hence, some individuals from Temple Mountain, and
24 at least its president, were under a duty to appear at the
25 depositions January 15, 1982, and did not appear. As a good
26 faith effort, its counsel might have appeared but did not.

27 Therefore, it appears that all discovery attempts
28 by defendant, Southern Pacific, have been frustrated and that
29 it has been able to obtain no information beyond the complaint
30 from plaintiff, Temple Mountain. It does not appear from the
31 record that Temple Mountain has attempted to do any discovery.

32 Under these circumstances, the Magistrate recommends

1 that the court issue its order:

2 1. Granting Southern Pacific's motion to dismiss
3 the complaint in this action, and that such dismissal be with
4 prejudice because of the blatant failure to comply with dis-
5 covery.

6 2. Finding Temple Mountain's "motion to strike
7 defendant's motions to dismiss" to be a belatedly filed memo-
8 randum of points and authorities in opposition to defendant's
9 motion to dismiss, and refusing to consider them.

10 3. In the alternative: (a) Plaintiff has never
11 filed a response to defendant's motion to dismiss which, there-
12 fore, constitutes a consent to the granting of the motion,
13 pursuant to Local Rule 16(e). (b) Denying plaintiff's motion
14 to strike defendant's motions to dismiss.

15 B. Southern Pacific's Motion For Summary Judgment

16 In light of the Magistrate's previous recommendation,
17 the motions and responsive pleadings filed in reference to
18 Southern Pacific's motion for summary judgment would ordinarily
19 become moot if the court accepts the Magistrate's recommenda-
20 tion, and would need not be discussed as to their merits.
21 However, a dismissal of the case should entitle defendant to
22 a finding by the court that neither plaintiff nor prospective
23 intervenors have any interest in the property to avoid a quiet
24 title action at a later time. The most appropriate way to
25 accomplish this, if justified, is through the summary judgment
26 process.

27 Plaintiff filed this action to have the court declare
28 the lease that is the subject of the action to have been renewed

1 for the period December 1, 1980 to November 30, 1981 and for
2 an order requiring defendant to renew the lease for the period.

3 Southern Pacific Land Company leased mining rights
4 of some 320 acres to plaintiff, Temple Mountain Industries,
5 Inc., for one year beginning December 1, 1975 to November 30,
6 1976. The written lease is attached to plaintiff's complaint
7 as Exhibit "A". Provision 27 provides for an option to renew the
8 lease annually for a period not exceeding four years and there-
9 after, from year to year, provided (1) the lease shall not have
10 already been terminated; (2) the lessee is not in default in
11 its obligations; (3) written notice of the exercise of the option
12 is received by lessor not more than six months nor less than
13 thirty days prior to the expiration of each annual period.

14 Provision 14 is the standard provision that a waiver
15 of any breach is not a waiver of any other or subsequent breach
16 and the acceptance of payments by lessor is not a waiver of any
17 preceding breach except the failure to pay the payment accepted.

18 Plaintiff alleges in its complaint, which is admitted
19 by defendant, that it had never given written notice to defen-
20 dant of its intention to renew during the first four yearly
21 extensions, but rather tendered its check, which was accepted
22 and processed. The term ended November 30, 1980. By check
23 dated December 1, 1980 and received a few days later, Temple
24 Mountain expected another renewal, although it did not make
25 a written notification. Southern Pacific declined to renew
26 and returned the check by letter dated December 8, 1980.
27 (Exhibit "B" to plaintiff's complaint)

28 Plaintiff alleges in its complaint, in several

1 paragraphs that it was not in default and had complied in
2 all respects with the agreement except for the written notice.
3 It claims that defendant had waived the requirement of notice
4 by its previous acceptance of the checks. Defendant denies
5 these allegations, which, of course, puts the questions of
6 breach of contract squarely in issue. (I see no merit in
7 plaintiff's argument that defendant was also to set out plain-
8 tiff's breach of contract as a separately stated affirmative
9 defense under those circumstances.)

10 Defendant served requests for admission to plaintiff
11 on December 7, 1981, properly and as is allowed by Federal
12 Rules of Civil Procedure 36. (See Exhibit "1" to defendant's
13 motion for summary judgment.) It can clearly be seen that if
14 these twelve requests were admitted, the plaintiff will have
15 admitted several serious and material breaches of the condi-
16 tions and covenants of the lease, including the fact that the
17 prior payments had been mailed and received before expiration
18 of the term.

19 Here again, plaintiff has completely ignored the
20 requests for admission and did not answer them, or object to
21 them or make any effort to get an extension of time through
22 the court within the thirty days or at all.

23 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 36(a) provides in
24 pertinent part:

25 Each matter of which an admission is requested
26 shall be separately set forth. The matter is
27 admitted, unless, within 30 days after service
28 of the request, or within such shorter or
longer time as the court may allow, the party
to whom the request is directed serves... a
written answer or objection...."

1 This sanction has been freely acknowledged and
2 employed by the courts. See O'Campo v. Hardisty, 262 F.2d
3 621 (9th Cir., 1958).

4 Plaintiff has done nothing here but file a lawsuit
5 and then has completely and blatantly ignored its obligations
6 for discovery under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
7 Furthermore, it has filed motions asking for certain relief for
8 itself while totally ignoring its responsibilities provided
9 by the rules of this Court and Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
10 in responding to defendant's legitimate motions. Since the
11 plaintiff does not appear to be interested in pursuing its law-
12 suit by the rules of procedure and of court, the defendant
13 should not be required to spend its time defending it.

14 Therefore, it is recommended that the requests for
15 admission be considered admissions, pursuant to Federal Rules
16 of Civil Procedure 36(a), which leaves no issue of material fact
17 to be decided. Summary judgment should be granted to defendant
18 with the order and decree of the court that the subject lease
19 has expired and that plaintiff has no interest in the property,
20 in the County of Eureka, State of Nevada, which is described
21 as follows:

22 The West 1/2 of Section 25, Township 36
23 North, Range 49 East, MDM., containing
320.00 acres, more or less.

24 C. Applicants' Motion To Intervene

25 The first communication from the applicants to
26 intervene was received February 19, 1982 by the court in the form
27 of a letter. They filed their first motion to intervene on
28 March 1, 1982. Both of these dates were over a month after the

1 close of discovery. The motion of March 1, 1982 asks that the
2 court allow them to intervene, to deny Southern Pacific's
3 motion for summary judgment, and to reopen discovery for an
4 additional ninety days. On March 10, 1982, the applicants
5 filed a second motion, requesting the court to allow them to
6 intervene as plaintiff, and they attached a proposed complaint.

7 The applicants allege the existence of a royalty
8 agreement between Temple Mountain and Arthur E. White, signed
9 on March 26, 1976. (The attached copy of the document shows
10 that it was recorded February 11, 1982, at Book 101, Page 193,
11 by the Recorder of Eureka County, Nevada.) The document pro-
12 vides that Arthur E. White is to receive nine percent of any
13 net profit that might be derived from the mining operation to
14 be developed by Temple Mountain. The agreement sets forth
15 Temple Mountain's interest in the leased property in question
16 (represented to be 320 acres) and in a group of unpatented
17 mining claims (said to be approximately 620 acres). Temple
18 Mountain agreed:

19on a best effort basis and at its own
20 risk and expense and without further contri-
21 bution or liability to the party hereinafter
22 identified to proceed as soon as possible
23 with exploration upon the subject lands,
24 looking forward to the creation of a profit-
25 able mining operation, subject, of course to
26 the well understood 'miners risk.'

27 Mr. White, referred to in the agreement as a
28 "sophisticated investor," appears to have paid \$700.00 for
the nine percent of the net profits. In an affidavit attached
to the March 1, 1982 motion to intervene, David A. White,
identified as nephew of Arthur E. White and trustee of the

1 Arthur E. White Trust, states that in February, 1981, Arthur E.
2 White assigned and transferred approximately seventy-eight per-
3 cent of his interest in the "royalty agreement" to the Trust.

4 The applicants allege that the "royalty agreement"
5 will be rendered worthless if the lease between Temple Mountain
6 and Southern Pacific is not renewed; that they claim an interest
7 relating to the property which is the subject of the action (by
8 virtue of the agreement); and that they are so situated that
9 disposition of the action may as a practical matter impair or
10 impede their ability to protect that interest. However, nowhere
11 does it appear from the agreement that any interest in the lease
12 was ever assigned by Temple Mountain to White. Therefore, their
13 contract is merely one for money and does not involve a real
14 property interest, applicants' allegations and agreement not-
15 withstanding.

16 Applicants' proposed complaint attached to their
17 motion of March 10, 1982 is an action by applicants, as plain-
18 tiffs against Temple Mountain and Southern Pacific, as defen-
19 dants. It appears to set forth generally the same matters as
20 were alleged in the original complaint, adding that they were
21 damaged by any failure of Temple Mountain to comply with the
22 lease but not praying for any damages against Temple Mountain.

23 Applicants' motions to intervene attempt to respond
24 only to Southern Pacific's motions for summary judgment and
25 do not mention or defend against Southern Pacific's motions
26 to dismiss.

27 Rule 24 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
28 govern intervention. The relevant parts of Federal Rules of

1 Civil Procedure 24 provide:

2 (a) Intervention of Right. Upon timely
3 application anyone shall be permitted to inter-
4 vene in an action:....(2) when the applicant
5 claims an interest relating to the property
6 or transaction which is the subject of the
7 action and he is so situated that the disposition
8 of the action may as a practical matter impair
9 or impede his ability to protect that interest,
10 unless the applicant's interest is adequately
11 represented by existing parties.

12 (b) Permissive Intervention. Upon timely
13 application anyone may be permitted to inter-
14 vene in action:.... (2) when an applicant's
15 claim or defense and the main action have a
16 question of law or fact in common....

17 (c) A person desiring to intervene shall serve
18 a motion to intervene upon the parties as pro-
19 vided in Rule 5. The motion shall state the
20 grounds therefor and shall be accompanied by a
21 pleading setting forth the claim or defense for
22 which intervention is sought....

23 A principal issue as to whether applicants may inter-
24 vene as a matter of right is whether they fulfill the "interest"
25 requirement. No clear definition of this "interest" has been
26 established by the Supreme Court or the lower courts. Blake
27 v. Pallan, 554 F.2d 947 (9th Cir., 1977) 952. [For a discussion
28 of the difficulties in arriving at a consistent and clear
definition of required "interest," see, Rosebud Coal Sales Co.
v. Andrus, 644 F.2d 849 (10th Cir., 1981) and In Re Penn Central
Commercial Paper Litigation, 62 F.R.D. 341 (S.D. N.Y., 1974).

Various courts have commented on the "interest"
requirement:

Several courts, including this one, have,
implicitly, at least, rejected the notion
that Rule 24(a)(2) requires "a specific
legal or equitable interest." Blake, supra.

To meet this requirement, an applicant for

1 intervention need not show that he has a
2 legal or equitable interest in jeopardy....
3 An applicant must show that he has a "protect-
4 able interest" in the outcome of the litigation
5 of sufficient magnitude to warrant inclusion
6 in the action.... Smith v. Pugilinan, 651
7 F.2d 1320 (9th Cir., 1980) 1324.

8 [I]t is clear that such an interest must be
9 direct, substantial and significantly
10 protectable.... An interest which is remote
11 or contingent is insufficient.... U. S. v.
12 Carrols Development Corp., 454 F.Supp. 1215
13 (N.D., N.Y. 1978).

14 [A]n interest, to satisfy the requirements of
15 Rule 24(a)(2) must be significant, must be
16 direct rather than contingent, and must be
17 based on a right which belongs to the proposed
18 intervenor rather than to an existing party to
19 the suit. In Re Penn Central Commercial Paper
20 Litigation, 62 F.R.D. 441 (S.D., N.Y. 1974)
21 346.

22 The interest that applicants allege is an agreement
23 with plaintiff to be paid a percentage of the net profits of
24 a minerals exploration and mining project to be conducted on
25 itemized land, including the land leased from defendant.
26 Applicants are not party to the lease under litigation nor
27 was it drawn for their benefit. Their position could be
28 summarized as follows: If plaintiff cannot get the lease
reinstated, applicants will not be able to be paid by plain-
tiff from any profits that might come from mining the land,
subject to the lease. Courts generally seem to have found
somewhat similar interests insufficient for intervention pur-
suant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 24(a)(2). See,
Rosebud Coal Sales Co. v. Andrus, 644 F.2d 849 (10th Cir., 1981)
U.S. v. Carrols Development Corporation, 454 F.Supp. 1215
(N.D.N.Y., 1978); Warheit v. Osten, 57 F.R.D. 629 (E.D. Mich.,
1973)

1 Additionally, this proceeding will not foreclose
2 applicants' cause, if any, against plaintiff, with whom they
3 have their agreement.

4 Therefore, the applicants have not shown that they
5 have a protectable interest in the proceeding to entitle them
6 to intervene pursuant to Federal Rules of Civil Procedure
7 24(a)(2).

8 If the court finds they may not intervene by
9 right, applicants have petitioned the court to allow them
10 permissive intervention.

11 Applicants, apparently, hope that the court will
12 allow them, in effect, to take the position of Temple Mountain
13 in this case (even though they have designated Temple Mountain
14 as a defendant in their proposed complaint) and reopen discovery
15 for ninety days, in an attempt to show that defendant was
16 required to allow Temple Mountain to renew the lease.

17 Aside from the fact that applicants would be liti-
18 gating a cause in which they would not be a real party in
19 interest as defined in Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 17,
20 and who are not parties to the lease which this case concerns,
21 if the court accepts the recommendation on Southern Pacific's
22 motions to dismiss filed on January 14, 1982 and on February 11,
23 1982, there will be no cause to litigate.

24 The courts have not allowed intervenors to revive
25 a moribund lawsuit. In Cook v. Bates, 92 F.R.D. 120 (S.D.
26 N.Y. 1981), after a sua sponte dismissal of the complaint for
27 failure to state a claim upon which relief could be granted,
28 the court refused to allow intervention:

1 There must be an existing litigation into
2 which to intervene, Hobbs, v. Police Jury
3 of Morehouse Parish, 49 F.R.D. 176, 178-9
4 (W.D. La., 1970), because intervention
5 may not be utilized to revive a moribund
6 lawsuit. McClune v. Shamah, 593 F.2d 482,
7 486 (3rd Cir., 1979)

8 Therefore, it is recommended that the court not
9 exercise its discretion to allow applicants permissive inter-
10 vention and that the two motions to intervene in this proceed-
11 ing be denied.

12 SUMMARY

13 The Magistrate, in summary, recommends that the
14 Court issue its order disposing of the referred matters as
15 follows:

16 1. That the motion of defendant, Southern Pacific,
17 to dismiss the complaint in this action for failure to comply
18 with discovery be granted, in that the cause should be dismissed
19 with prejudice; each party to bear its own costs and attorneys'
20 fees.

21 2. That the motion of plaintiff, Southern Pacific,
22 to strike defendant's motion to dismiss be found to be a
23 belatedly filed response to defendant's motions to dismiss and
24 should itself be stricken or, in the alternative, be denied.

25 3. That the motion of plaintiff, Temple Mountain,
26 for a protective order be found to be moot.

27 4. That the motion for summary judgment of defendant,
28 Southern Pacific, be granted.

5. That the motion of Arthur E. White and David E.

///

1 White, Trustee, to intervene and their motion to intervene
2 as plaintiff be denied.
3

4 DATED this 29th day of June, 1982.
5
6
7

8 *Philip L. ...*
9 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

-25-

B98K207 PAGE 468

EXHIBIT B

ENTERED

NOV 16 1982

CLERK OF DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY: [Signature]

FILED

NOV 12 1982

CLERK, U. S. DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA
BY: [Signature]

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF NEVADA

TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

CIV-R-81-163-ECR

vs.

ORDER

SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

Defendant.

The Court hereby adopts the findings and recommendation of the Magistrate filed on June 29, 1982, only as to defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on January 19, 1982, and the Motion to Intervene filed on March 1, 1982. The other pending motions are rendered moot by this order.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on January 19, 1982, is GRANTED. The Clerk of Court shall forthwith enter judgment in favor of defendant and against plaintiff.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Motion to Intervene filed on March 1, 1982, is DENIED.

DATED: November 12, 1982.

[Signature]
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

EXHIBIT B

FILED

JUDGMENT ON DECISION BY THE COURT

ENTERED

United States District Court

FOR THE

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

NOV 15 1982

U.S. DISTRICT COURT

RENO, NEVADA

DEPUTY

TEMPLE MOUNTAIN INDUSTRIES, INC.,

vs.

Plaintiff,

SOUTHERN PACIFIC LAND COMPANY,

Defendant.

CIVIL ACTION FILE NO. CV-R-81-183-BER

JUDGMENT

to be considered by
This action came before the Court, Honorable EDWARD C. REED, JR., considered
United States District Judge, presiding, and the issues having been duly
and a decision having been duly rendered.

It is Ordered and Adjudged that defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment filed on
January 19, 1982, is GRANTED in favor of defendant and against plaintiff.

OFFICIAL RECORDS
RECORDED AT THE REQUEST OF
BOOK 207 PAGE 404
Frontier Bell Co.-
90 JAN 29 A954

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT
RENO, NEVADA
FILE NO. 131268
FEE \$ 71.10

Dated at Reno, Nevada
of November , 1982 .

, this 16th day

CAROL C. FITZGERALD, CLERK
Clerk of Court

By: *Colleen Larsen*
COLLEEN LARSEN
Deputy

BOOK 207 PAGE 470

48